

# **BIG BROTHER WATCH**

## **Are They Still Watching?**

The cost of CCTV in an era of cuts

**A Big Brother Watch Report**

**February 2016**

## Contents

Foreword – Surveillance Camera Commissioner, Tony Porter .....	3
Executive Summary .....	4
Key Findings .....	6
Key Tables .....	7
Policy Recommendations .....	11
CCTV in the UK .....	12
<b>Table 7: Total number of cameras controlled by local authorities and Total Local Authority Expenditure on CCTV .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Table 8: Local Authority Expenditure on Installation, Operation and Maintenance .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Table 9: Local Authority Expenditure on Wages and Salary .....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Appendix 1: Methodology .....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Original Freedom of Information Request .....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>About Big Brother Watch .....</b>	<b>69</b>

For media enquiries relating to this report and you would like to contact Big Brother Watch, including outside office hours, please call +44 (0) 7505 448925 (24hrs) You can also email [press@bigbrotherwatch.org.uk](mailto:press@bigbrotherwatch.org.uk) for written enquiries.

## Foreword Surveillance Camera Commissioner, Tony Porter

Big Brother Watch present a timely report highlighting the competing tensions faced by local authorities when delivering public space video surveillance. The pressure on public services caused by austerity versus the future challenges of emerging technology are neatly addressed through analysis of recent spending patterns. Despite the reduction in spending highlighted in this report I am certain that new and advancing technologies will see further investment by local authorities to deliver new and exciting capabilities; from smart cities to smarter surveillance, the use of video analytics and algorithms to help protect its citizens from crime, support the night time economy and ensure free passage of traffic in our cities and towns. The sums of money involved are massive and it's important that those charged with delivering this service get it right.

My team is developing a process – a 'Passport to Compliance' – which will allow local authorities to follow an incremental and intelligent approach to considering whether a new surveillance camera system is essential. It begins with the question; “why do we want surveillance cameras and is there a legitimate and pressing need for them?”

It will provide a framework and guidance schedule to ensure that every pound of public money spent on surveillance camera systems is spent wisely. It will ensure local authorities comply with the Surveillance Camera Code of Practice. This report neatly highlights the imperative of implementing such a process.

Each recommendation in this report, if adopted, would serve to improve the quality of public surveillance; increase accountability and transparency to our citizens and help to drive up standards at every level. I support this report and the recommendations made within it and thank Big Brother Watch for shedding light on this issue.



Tony Porter

**Surveillance Camera Commissioner**

## Executive Summary

CCTV has been a mainstay of our streets and public spaces for over 20 years. 10 years ago the enthusiasm for CCTV led to the UK being classified as the most watched nation on earth<sup>1</sup>. As ever where we lead, others follow, countless countries around the world are now installing cameras and surveilling their citizens. But what now for the UK, where are we currently at with this technology? Are we still rampantly installing CCTV or have we reached saturation point, and if not what might the future of street surveillance bring?

Public space CCTV is maintained by a number of different bodies; the police, local authorities, private businesses and increasingly by private individuals. Local authorities use CCTV for crime detection and prevention, including watching pubs, clubs and bars at night, as well as monitoring the flow of people and traffic.

Local authorities have historically been enthusiastic at installing CCTV on our streets. Between 1999 and 2012 the number of cameras installed by local authorities across the country jumped from 21,000 to over 51,000.

In response to this Big Brother Watch used Freedom of Information requests to determine how much money was being spent on these systems. The report **Price of Privacy (2012)** revealed that between 2007 and 2011 local authorities spent £515 million installing, maintaining and monitoring CCTV cameras. A staggering sum.

Five years on we present **Are They Still Watching?** an update of the figures outlining the money spent by local authorities on the CCTV systems between 2012 to 2015.

Our findings show that nationally there has been a decrease in the money spent on the installation, maintenance and monitoring of CCTV. Whilst some parts of the country have scrapped their CCTV schemes altogether, other areas, notably London have reported over a 71% increase in CCTV coverage.

Whilst we are pleased to see a reduction in spending on CCTV, we have to understand that the rationale behind the figures is not ideological. Local authorities have not suddenly woken up to privacy and acknowledged the intrusion CCTV causes.

It is vital that we consider the financial pressures on local authorities during this period. It has been noted that in the 2015/2016 financial year alone, local authorities have been instructed to find £2.6 billion of savings<sup>2</sup> we suspect therefore that our findings highlight the budgetary constraints and cuts in council coffers since 2010.

---

<sup>1</sup> BBC News, *UK 'most watched nation' by CCTV*, 21<sup>st</sup> July 2009: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/8160757.stm>

<sup>2</sup> Surveillance Camera Commissioner, *Speech to Security Twenty 15*, 9<sup>th</sup> July 2015: <https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/speech-to-security-twenty-15>

We also acknowledge the changes to regulation since the Protection of Freedoms Act in 2012 and the subsequent codes of practice for CCTV from the Surveillance Camera Commissioner and the Information Commissioners Office.

We have long supported the use of CCTV where it is proven to be beneficial. We hope that in some areas cameras have been removed because they were not-fit-for purpose or poorly situated.

It appears that a number of cost saving initiatives have been introduced such as an end to 24 hour monitoring of CCTV and the merging of the role of CCTV operator with other roles within the authority. It is worth noting that in some areas responsibility for CCTV has been passed to local town councils which will inevitably impact on costs.

Looking to the future and the expansion of technology, it is important that we do not assume from these figures that CCTV may be on the wane. We are on the cusp of yet another breakthrough in technology, the rise of smarter technology, smarter cities, facial biometrics, 3D scanning and other more accurate forms of surveillance technology are all a blink away. Over the next three years the move away from analogue to digital will gather pace. The impact on CCTV schemes is likely to be inevitable.

Whilst we are encouraged by some of these results, we acknowledge that they may merely be a lull before the storm of new surveillance technology appears on our streets. In light of that we propose policy recommendations which should be considered by local authorities before the pressure to update their systems becomes too great to ignore.

**Policy Recommendations:**

- 1) Any improvement of systems to include additional capabilities such as smart technology, biometrics or linking systems must consider the increased risk to citizen's privacy. This should be clearly addressed in the business case and privacy impact assessment.
- 2) Local authorities should regularly report statistics on the number of crimes detected, investigated and solved by each camera to demonstrate the necessity.
- 3) A single point of contact should be created to oversee CCTV use and resolve complaints.
- 4) A single, enforceable Code of Practice which applies to all CCTV cameras should be released.

## Key Findings

- Local authorities control at least **45,284 CCTV** cameras, a **12.5% decrease from 2012**.
- At least **£277,079,999.60** has been spent on the installation, maintenance and monitoring of these cameras, a **decrease of 46.4% from 2012**.
- **£38,235,429.13** was spent on the installation of CCTV, a **decrease of 57.3% from 2012**.
- **£139,550,589.09** was spent on the maintenance of cameras, a **decrease of 42% from 2012**.
- **£99,293,981.38** was spent on the wages and salary costs of CCTV operators, a **decrease of 47% from 2012**.
- London authorities recorded a **71.8% increase** in the number of cameras they operate **from 8,105 to 13,924**.
  - London also has **1/3<sup>rd</sup> (30.6%) of the UKs cameras and 22% of the overall spend** was in the capital.
- Wales has the **highest average CCTV spend of any UK region**, with **£9,000 per camera**
- London spends on **average £4,310 per camera, the lowest of any UK region**.
- The overall total is equivalent to spending:
  - £92,359,999.87 every year
  - £7,696,666.66 every month
  - £1,776,153.84 every week
  - £253,041.10 every day
  - £10,543.38 every hour
  - £175.72 every minute
  - £2.93 every second

## Key Tables<sup>3</sup>

**Table 1: Top 10 Highest Spending Local Authorities**

No	Council	Total Spend	2012 Spend
1	Westminster	<b>£7,327,747.33</b>	£11,831,554
2	Birmingham	<b>£5,598,168</b>	£14,293,060
3	Runnymede	<b>£5,281,821.74</b>	£653,583
4	Croydon	<b>£4,993,876</b>	£5,329,589
5	City of Edinburgh	<b>£4,807,383</b>	£6,211,425.30
6	Ealing	<b>£3,585,920.64</b>	£3,547,000
7	Dacorum	<b>£3,441,016</b>	£3,134,654.48
8	Leicester	<b>£3,187,898.93</b>	£4,762,729.94
9	Enfield	<b>£3,143,436.65</b>	£4,996,900
10	Camden	<b>£2,955,890.52</b>	£3,709,816.73

### Key Facts

- Croydon spends £14 per resident on CCTV, compared to just £4 in neighbouring Bromley<sup>4</sup>.
- Birmingham has cut back on spending by 60% since 2012.
- Runnymede spends £65 on CCTV per resident.

**Table 2: Top 10 Highest Number of CCTV Cameras**

No	Council	Number of Cameras	Number of Cameras (2012)
1	Hackney	<b>2900</b>	Did not respond
2	Wandsworth	<b>2246</b>	1150
3	Hammersmith and Fulham	<b>1150</b>	452
4	Camden	<b>1015</b>	793
5	Woking	<b>691</b>	529
6	Greenwich	<b>680</b>	173
7	Hillingdon	<b>674</b>	713
8	Bristol, City of	<b>658</b>	782
9	Ealing	<b>615</b>	351
10	Swindon	<b>606</b>	382

### Key Facts

- Hackney has one camera for every 90 residents.
- There are more CCTV cameras in Hackney than in the whole of Wales.
- Wandsworth has more than double the number of CCTV cameras than Manchester, Liverpool and Birmingham combined.
- In the last three years Wandsworth has doubled the number of CCTV cameras it has, despite having the lowest crime rate in central London.

<sup>3</sup> For additional tables please see Appendix 1.

<sup>4</sup> This figure was originally stated incorrectly to be £24 per resident. On 25<sup>th</sup> February 2016 the figure was updated to show the correct amount.

Table 3: Top 10 Percentage decreases (spending)

No.	Council - Spending	Percentage Change	2009-2011	2012-2015
1	Ceredigion	-100%	£824,041.95	£0
1	South Bucks	-100%	£173,476.79	£0
1	Forest of Dean	-100%	£144,336.98	£0
1	Craven	-100%	£123,577.00	£0
1	Copeland	-100%	£72,715.00	£0
1	Eden	-100%	£64,436.58	£0
1	Tandridge	-100%	£12,295.00	£0
1	Suffolk Coastal	-100%	£8,969.00	£0
1	Rushcliffe	-100%	£2,028.20	£0
2	Bradford	-99.4%	£3,607,300.00	£21,671
3	Oadby and Wigston	-99.0%	£24,245.95	£240
4	Scarborough	-98.9%	£3,312,406.00	£37,000
5	East Lothian	-97.8%	£2,851,106.00	£62,290.84
6	Wokingham	-97.7%	£259,616.00	£6,000
7	Nottingham	-96.9%	£4,666,827.83	£146,534.84
8	Richmondshire	-96.1%	£406,947.00	£15,840
9	Gateshead	-96.0%	£1,571,353.91	£62,508.72
10	Northamptonshire	-95.5%	£1,147,493.97	£52,000

Table 4: Top 10 Percentage increases (spending)

No.	Council - Spending	Percentage Change	2009-2011	2012-2015
1	West Dunbartonshire	N/A	£0.00	£349,928
2	Arun	16799.6%	£250	£42,249
3	Mid Sussex	9137.0%	£462.00	£42,675
4	Renfrewshire	5428.5%	£7,736.23	£427,700
5	Devon	5115.7%	£7,405.00	£386,224
6	Hertfordshire	4088.0%	£24,275.00	£1,016,632
7	Cornwall	1769.4%	£53,174.00	£994,046
8	Cardiff	844.1%	£242,680.00	£2,291,125
9	Runnymede	708.1%	£653,583.00	£5,281,821.74
10	Dorset	678.1%	£9,321.00	£72,525.15



Table 5: Top 10 Percentage decreases (cameras)

No	Council - Cameras	Percentage Change	2009-2011	2012-2015
1	North Norfolk	-100%	48	0
1	Barrow-in-Furness	-100%	40	0
1	East Cambridgeshire	-100%	35	0
1	Allerdale	-100%	33	0
1	Ceredigion	-100%	23	0
1	East Hampshire	-100%	20	0
1	Richmondshire	-100%	12	0
1	East Northamptonshire	-100%	1	0
2	Fife	-92.8%	1420	102
3	Gloucestershire	-91.9%	333	27
4	Renfrewshire	-91.0%	420	38
5	Eilean Siar	-90.0%	210	21
6	Lambeth	-87.9%	348	42
7	Moray	-87.5%	40	5
8	Aylesbury Vale	-85.8%	183	26
9	Uttlesford	-85.7%	7	1
10	Stirling	-81.9%	182	33

Table 6: Top 10 Percentage increases (cameras)

No	Council - Cameras	Percentage Change	2009-2011	2012-2015
1	Sandwell	N/A	0	400
1	Cornwall	N/A	0	161
1	Hartlepool	N/A	0	143
1	Broxbourne	N/A	0	136
1	St. Helens	N/A	0	124
1	Conwy	N/A	0	115
1	Winchester	N/A	0	115
1	Hampshire	N/A	0	106
1	Stockport	N/A	0	95
1	Sefton	N/A	0	90
1	Dundee City	N/A	0	87
1	Somerset	N/A	0	68
1	Cannock Chase	N/A	0	62
1	Scottish Borders	N/A	0	60
1	Cheltenham	N/A	0	57
1	Pendle	N/A	0	51
1	Hyndburn	N/A	0	47
1	Wyre	N/A	0	29
1	South Holland	N/A	0	28
1	South Cambridgeshire	N/A	0	26
1	Arun	N/A	0	19
1	Wellingborough	N/A	0	17
1	South Derbyshire	N/A	0	13
1	Rutland	N/A	0	12
1	North East Derbyshire	N/A	0	11
1	Isles of Scilly	N/A	0	6
1	Purbeck	N/A	0	2
2	Warwickshire	32400.0%	1	325
3	Hounslow	19600.0%	1	197
4	Chelmsford	7500.0%	5	380
5	Dorset	1278.6%	14	193
6	Pembrokeshire	1125.0%	4	49
7	Surrey Heath	480.0%	5	29
8	Waltham Forest	459.2%	71	397
9	Havering	443.0%	86	467
10	Warrington	297.4%	39	155

## Policy Recommendations

- 1. Any improvement of systems to include additional capabilities such as smart technology, biometrics or linking systems must consider the increased risk to citizen's privacy. This should be clearly addressed in the business case and privacy impact assessment.**

Whilst it is expected that local authorities (and indeed anyone) will carry out a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) before the installation or improvement of a camera, these PIA's should be made public and presented in an easy, accessible way. Local authorities should publish any associated documents including the proposed location and the business case for any new camera. If done properly this would engage the public, helping them to understand the purpose of each requested camera before it is installed. This improved transparency will enable people to decide for themselves whether or not CCTV in their area represents money well spent.

- 2. Local authorities should regularly report statistics on the number of crimes detected, investigated and solved by each camera to demonstrate its continued necessity.**

It is important that the public know if the cameras in their area are doing their job properly. If a camera is not regularly achieving its stated goals (for example crime prevention), then there is very little point to its continued presence; it is a drain on resources. Local authorities should be auditing their stock of cameras at regular intervals and publishing evidence to show that each and every CCTV camera continues to be necessary.

- 3. A single point of contact should be created to oversee CCTV use and resolve complaints.**

The current oversight system is divided between the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) and the Surveillance Camera Commissioner (SCC). We believe this is confusing for the general public.

Neither organisation has responsibility for overseeing the use of all UK CCTV. This has led to a patchwork effect and isn't helpful to those seeking either advice or redress. That only one of these organisations has the necessary powers and resources to enforce the law in this area is something that requires further justification.

As cameras become more multifunctional and potentially increase their biometric capabilities, it may be that the Biometrics Commissioner becomes involved in their oversight as well. This would add further confusion. We suggest clarity is established now so that one Commissioner oversees all aspects of surveillance cameras with feed in from other relevant Commissioners as necessary.

- 4. A single enforceable Code of Practice which applies to all CCTV cameras should be released.**

Currently there are two Codes of Practice relating to CCTV cameras, one from the Surveillance Camera Commissioner and one from the Information Commissioner. The codes are similar but not identical. The unnecessary duplication and confusion from this process could be resolved by the production of one fully enforceable Code of Practice presented by both Commissioners in conjunction with one another.

## CCTV in the UK

### How effective is CCTV?

The usefulness of CCTV could, at best, be described as variable. A number of studies highlighting how it can help in certain circumstances have questioned why it has been rolled out on such a wide scale.

One of the most well-known and well-regarded studies is the Campbell Collaboration (2008) which brought together research from around the world. The study found that CCTV had a “*modest but significant desirable effect on crime*”. It also noted that it was most effective when used to deter vehicle theft in car parks. Although it endorsed the continued use of CCTV it did so with the important caveat that it should be “*more narrowly targeted*”.<sup>5</sup>

A report by the Swedish National Council for Crime Prevention (2007) produced similar findings. The research also found a “*small (16%) but significant decrease in crime*”. Once again car park crime was singled out for attention; stating that the decrease was “*largely driven by the effectiveness of CCTV schemes in car parks, which caused a 51% decrease in crime*”. It noted that in these instances the use of CCTV also came with improved “*lighting, fencing and security personnel*” meaning that CCTV was often being used as part of a wider strategy. The study concluded that the results were only “*marginally successful*” and that any future schemes should be implemented carefully, evaluated and properly followed up.<sup>6</sup>

The variable effectiveness of CCTV has also been noted by the Home Office who found in fourteen case studies that “*The belief that CCTV alone can counter complex social problems is unrealistic in the extreme*”. It also noted that “*at best CCTV can work alongside other measures to generate some changes, but it is no easy panacea*”.<sup>7</sup>

### Big Brother Watch's Position

Big Brother Watch does not advocate the removal of all CCTV cameras. As part of a wider strategy CCTV can be beneficial, particularly when in the right place and used effectively. However, the benefits have never been fully assessed, other than the benefit to the investigation of car crime.

Without further empirical research on the use of CCTV past and present, we have no real idea of what areas of crime are reduced by the use of this technology. It should be noted that there has not been a spike in crime in the areas reporting a reduction in cameras. We understand that a number of councils are using cameras on an as-needed basis, rather than installing fixed cameras.

---

<sup>5</sup> Campbell Systematic reviews, *Effects of Closed Circuit Television Surveillance on Crime*, December 2008, p. 2

<sup>6</sup> Bardon C. Welsh David P. Farrington, *Closed Circuit Television Surveillance and Crime Prevention A Systematic Review, Report prepared for The Swedish National Council for Crime Prevention*, 2007

<sup>7</sup> Home Office, The impact of CCTV: fourteen case studies:

<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218135832/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/pdfs05/rdsolr1505.pdf>

Re-deployable cameras can be placed in problem areas for a short space of time. They can then be retargeted once the issues have been resolved. Whilst we would oppose the use of these cameras as a “ring of steel” approach, the concept of targeted use in an area where crime has been proven to be rife could be seen to be more beneficial from a maintenance, cost and privacy perspective, than an expensive fixed camera surveilling everyone going about their day to day business.

### Transparency

No register of CCTV cameras currently exists in the UK. This means we have no idea exactly how many cameras are present in the country. The most recent estimate, from the British Securities Industry Association, puts the number at between 4 and 6 million. Without precise numbers it is very difficult to know where cameras are located, how intrusive they can be and indeed how effective they are.<sup>8</sup>

Whilst there are clear problems with transparency around CCTV we note that Mid Sussex District Council recently announced a public consultation ahead of replacing their current stock of cameras. The Council invited views on the potential expansion of the scheme. They published the location of the proposed new cameras, as well as the effectiveness of the existing ones.<sup>9</sup> We welcome this approach as it allows the local residents to judge for themselves whether or not they think they will benefit from the suggested scheme or not.

This kind of transparency and debate should be standard ahead of the installation of CCTV. The publication of the business case for each camera before installation would help to further inform members of the public of any plans.

After the installation of a camera its continued use must be justified both to policymakers and members of the public. Local authorities must publish information about how often cameras under their control detect criminal activity. This will ensure the camera is producing the desired effect of cutting crime and is both beneficial and cost effective.

### Oversight

Currently two bodies exist to oversee the use of CCTV:

- The Surveillance Camera Commissioner (SCC), who is tasked with overseeing the use of cameras by public bodies and
- The Information Commissioner’s Office (ICO), which is tasked with overseeing the use of cameras by private individuals and companies.

Both organisations produce very similar codes of practice on the best use of surveillance camera equipment. This can cause confusion for members of the public who may wish to lodge a complaint about a CCTV system but do not know who to complain to.

---

<sup>8</sup>Daily Telegraph, *One surveillance camera for every 11 people in Britain, says CCTV survey*, 10<sup>th</sup> July 2013: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/technology/10172298/One-surveillance-camera-for-every-11-people-in-Britain-says-CCTV-survey.html>

<sup>9</sup>Mid Sussex District Council, *CCTV camera upgrades for consultation*, 18<sup>th</sup> September 2015: <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk/Press/10073.htm>

Whilst the ICO do an excellent job, for the sake of clarity it would be beneficial for oversight to be the task of one commissioner, preferably the SCC, who can then seek support from the experienced ICO on issues of data protection and regulation.

We see great benefit in the ICO continuing to be involved in a specialist and supporting role to the SCC rather than as two separate groups working in parallel towards a very similar goal.

### **Future capabilities**

Technology is changing rapidly and CCTV is no exception. Within a few years new kinds of camera, with improved features will become commonplace; bringing with them new opportunities and new threats.

1. **HD Cameras.** As this technology becomes cheaper it will allow for better quality footage and the potential for the easier identification of suspects. At the same time it will raise a host of concerns such as the use of facial recognition. Recent examples, such as Leicestershire Police's trial of the technology have shown that when badly communicated it can provoke a backlash.<sup>10</sup>
2. **Smart Cities.** Increasingly our urban areas are becoming more connected and one of the areas this is often evident in is CCTV. Concerns have been raised about the threat that hackers pose to CCTV systems in smart cities. This raises the potential of footage (and therefore the personal information of citizens) being accessed and stolen by malicious individuals.<sup>11</sup>
3. **Sound and gait recognition.** New technology will allow cameras to recognise certain sounds such as breaking glass or an argument and feed this back to an operator. Another new function is the ability of software to record and recognise the way an individual walks, something that is difficult to disguise and makes it easier to identify suspects in a crowd.<sup>12</sup>
4. **Enhanced Tracking.** So called tag and track systems allow individuals to be identified and then tracked across an entire system with individual cameras sending alerts to operators whenever the target appears.<sup>13</sup>

Taken together these advances will change how cameras are used and the threat they pose to privacy. Local authorities must begin to consider how they can minimise privacy concerns before they start trying to deploy these methods on our streets

<sup>10</sup> The Register, *Download Festival face scan: You're right to be annoyed, said UK surveillance commish*, 13<sup>th</sup> July 2015: [http://www.theregister.co.uk/2015/07/13/sneaky\\_use\\_of\\_facial\\_recognition\\_at\\_download\\_rightly\\_caused\\_outcry\\_according\\_to\\_blightys\\_surveillance\\_commiss/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2015/07/13/sneaky_use_of_facial_recognition_at_download_rightly_caused_outcry_according_to_blightys_surveillance_commiss/)

<sup>11</sup> Securing Smart Cities, *Does CCTV put the public at risk of cyberattack?:* [http://securingsmartcities.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/CCTV\\_research\\_final.pdf](http://securingsmartcities.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/CCTV_research_final.pdf)

<sup>12</sup> JobSecurity, *What's the Latest In CCTV Technology:* <http://www.jobsecurity.co.uk/blog/whats-latest-cctv-technology/>

<sup>13</sup> Kingston University London, *Cutting-edge advance in CCTV technology software earns industry acclaim*, 26<sup>th</sup> June 2012: <http://sec.kingston.ac.uk/news/2012/cutting-edge-advance-in-cctv-software-earns-industry-acclaim/>

**Table 7: Total number of cameras controlled by local authorities and Total Local Authority Expenditure on CCTV<sup>14</sup>**

Council	Grand Total	Total Number of Cameras
<b>City of London and London Boroughs</b>		
<b>City of London</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of City of London Police	
<b>Barking and Dagenham</b>	No response received	
<b>Barnet</b>	£2,476,212	111
<b>Bexley</b>	£2,812,000	261
<b>Brent</b>	£2,695,000	180
<b>Bromley</b>	£1,226,630	184
<b>Camden</b>	£2,955,890.52	1015
<b>Croydon</b>	£4,993,876	91
<b>Ealing</b>	£3,585,920.64	615
<b>Enfield</b>	£3,143,436.65	332
<b>Greenwich</b>	£2,480,278	680
<b>Hackney</b>	£1,012,000	2900
<b>Hammersmith and Fulham</b>	£2,411,059	1150
<b>Haringey</b>	£1,506,357.89	68
<b>Harrow</b>	£860,078	166
<b>Havering</b>	£211,568.48	467
<b>Hillingdon</b>	£865,313	674
<b>Hounslow</b>	£2,208,327.20	197
<b>Islington</b>	£1,243,000	181
<b>Kensington and Chelsea</b>	£904,981	58
<b>Kingston upon Thames</b>	£45,000	137
<b>Lambeth</b>	Information not provided	42
<b>Lewisham</b>	£1,996,138	171
<b>Merton</b>	Refused - cost and time	
<b>Newham</b>	£1,871,631	204
<b>Redbridge</b>	£2,735,253.96	285
<b>Richmond upon Thames</b>	£295,713	103
<b>Southwark</b>	£1,783,831	305

<sup>14</sup> In April 2015 local authorities in Northern Ireland were re-organised. The report will note the legacy authorities which merged in each instance in Table 7.

<b>Sutton</b>	£829,263.31	184
<b>Tower Hamlets</b>	£1,845,283	386
<b>Waltham Forest</b>	£2,695,136	397
<b>Wandsworth<sup>15</sup></b>	£997,119.81	2246
<b>Westminster</b>	£7,327,747.33	134
<b>Total</b>	<b>£60,014,045.20</b>	<b>13924</b>
<b>English County Councils</b>		
<b>Buckinghamshire</b>	£86,337	49
<b>Cambridgeshire</b>	£66,366	269
<b>Cumbria</b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras	
<b>Derbyshire</b>	Refused - Cost and Time	
<b>Devon</b>	£386,224	39
<b>Dorset</b>	£72,525.15	193 <sup>16</sup>
<b>East Sussex</b>	Council does not employ CCTV operators	
<b>Essex</b>	No response received	
<b>Gloucestershire</b>	£38,407.74	27
<b>Hampshire</b>	£411,482	106
<b>Hertfordshire</b>	£1,016,632	122
<b>Kent</b>	£322,600.00	131 <sup>17</sup>
<b>Lancashire</b>	On-street CCTV managed by the District Councils	
<b>Leicestershire</b>	£133,976.55	Information not provided
<b>Lincolnshire</b>	No response received	
<b>Norfolk</b>	Information not provided	
<b>Northamptonshire</b>	£52,000	32
<b>North Yorkshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils	
<b>Nottinghamshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils	
<b>Oxfordshire</b>	£91,324	59
<b>Somerset</b>	£177,000	68
<b>Staffordshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils	
<b>Suffolk</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils	
<b>Surrey</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils	
<b>Warwickshire</b>	N/A	325

<sup>15</sup> Following the publication of this report Wandsworth Borough Council clarified their response: [http://www.wandsworthguardian.co.uk/news/14296756.We\\_re\\_not\\_being\\_watched\\_after\\_all\\_Wandsworth\\_Council\\_mistakenly\\_doubles\\_up\\_the\\_number\\_of\\_cameras\\_in\\_the\\_borough/](http://www.wandsworthguardian.co.uk/news/14296756.We_re_not_being_watched_after_all_Wandsworth_Council_mistakenly_doubles_up_the_number_of_cameras_in_the_borough/)

<sup>16</sup> At time of response an audit of the number of cameras was being undertaken.

<sup>17</sup> For traffic monitoring purposes.



<b>West Sussex</b>	£128,357	292
<b>Worcestershire</b>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>£2,983,231.14</b>	<b>1712</b>
<b>English Unitary Authorities</b>		
<b>Bath and North East Somerset</b>	Refused - Cost and Time	
<b>Bedford Borough</b>	£1,000,107	298
<b>Blackburn with Darwen</b>	£463,889.50	73
<b>Blackpool</b>	£1,056,857	87
<b>Bournemouth</b>	£2,460,997	224
<b>Bracknell Forest</b>	£41,750	4
<b>Brighton and Hove</b>	£338,251	84
<b>Bristol, City of</b>	£285,789	658
<b>Central Bedfordshire</b>	£687,994	91
<b>Cheshire East</b>	£1,914,309	246 <sup>18</sup>
<b>Cheshire West and Chester</b>	£2,172,251	249
<b>Cornwall</b>	£994,046	161
<b>Darlington</b>	£1,597,719	94
<b>Derby</b>	£1,528,000	98 <sup>19</sup>
<b>Durham</b>	£1,390,928	208
<b>East Riding of Yorkshire<sup>20</sup></b>	£633,270	38
<b>Halton</b>	£677,872	73
<b>Hartlepool</b>	£602,538 <sup>21</sup>	143
<b>Herefordshire</b>	£757,716	40
<b>Isle of Wight</b>	Refused - commercially sensitive information	90
<b>Kingston upon Hull, City of</b>	£1,278,000	292
<b>Leicester</b>	£3,187,898.93	Information not provided
<b>Luton</b>	£1,158,493	141 <sup>22</sup>
<b>Medway</b>	No response received	
<b>Middlesbrough</b>	£1,819,000	181
<b>Milton Keynes</b>	£203,500	Information not provided
<b>North East Lincolnshire</b>	£890,209.46	145 <sup>23</sup>

<sup>18</sup> Camera breakdown: 155 - Street and town centre cameras. 91 - Car parks.

<sup>19</sup> Camera breakdown: 25 in streets, 7 in surface car parks and 61 for multi-storey car parks

<sup>20</sup> Received the following payments for CCTV: 2012/13 - £46,695, 2013/14 - £45,295, 2014/15 - £45,519.

<sup>21</sup> Total CCTV expenditure.

<sup>22</sup> Includes 9 mobile units.

<sup>23</sup> 17 - mobile Rapid Deployable cameras.

<b>North Lincolnshire</b>	£1,062,880	166
<b>North Somerset</b>	£1,527,000	106
<b>Northumberland</b>	£542,590	60
<b>Nottingham</b>	£146,534.84	214 <sup>24</sup>
<b>Peterborough</b>	£1,583,768	163
<b>Plymouth</b>	£668,431.67	274
<b>Poole</b>	£424,188	139
<b>Portsmouth</b>	£1,396,797.10	147
<b>Reading</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Thames Valley Police	
<b>Redcar and Cleveland</b>	£2,281,149	251
<b>Rutland</b>	£10,700	12
<b>Shropshire</b>	No response received	
<b>Slough</b>	£1,355,625	85
<b>South Gloucestershire</b>	£310,449	46 <sup>25</sup>
<b>Southampton</b>	£2,460,000	335
<b>Southend-on-Sea</b>	£976,790	162 <sup>26</sup>
<b>Stockton-on-Tees</b>	£469,220	149
<b>Stoke-on-Trent</b>	£2,758,884	384
<b>Swindon</b>	£205,200	606
<b>Telford and Wrekin</b>	£278,806	173 <sup>27</sup>
<b>Thurrock</b>	£1,589,921	297 <sup>28</sup>
<b>Torbay</b>	£1,262,477	327
<b>Warrington</b>	£561,647	155 <sup>29</sup>
<b>West Berkshire</b>	£647,990	40
<b>Wiltshire</b>	£657,502	130
<b>Windsor and Maidenhead</b>	£2,500,524	227
<b>Wokingham</b>	£6,000	11
<b>York</b>	£1,090,407	120
<b>Total</b>	<b>£52,372,923.10</b>	<b>8391</b>
<b>Welsh Unitary Authorities</b>		
<b>Blaenau Gwent</b>	£1,164,740	72
<b>Bridgend</b>	£1,557,229	128
<b>Caerphilly</b>	£2,809,311	160 <sup>30</sup>
<b>Cardiff</b>	£2,291,125	325 <sup>31</sup>
<b>Carmarthenshire</b>	£774,999.58	87

<sup>24</sup> 183 - Public open space (fixed) cameras, 23 - Re-deployable cameras, 8 - 3G cameras

<sup>25</sup> Camera breakdown: Fixed - 44, Mobile - 2.

<sup>26</sup> Camera breakdown: Fixed - 156, Mobile - 6

<sup>27</sup> Camera breakdown: 160 - Fixed, 13 - Mobile

<sup>28</sup> Camera breakdown: Fixed - 289, Mobile - 8

<sup>29</sup> Includes all cameras viewing public and open space.

<sup>30</sup> An additional 759 cameras do not operate from the control room (BWC and vehicle mounted) information on these was refused.

<sup>31</sup> Camera breakdown: Fixed Cameras – 314, Mobile Cameras - 11

<b>Ceredigion</b>	Council "no longer provide CCTV"	
<b>Conwy</b>	£1,667,840.82	115
<b>Denbighshire</b>	£1,545,427	87
<b>Flintshire</b>	Information not provided	141
<b>Gwynedd</b>	£849,532	71
<b>Isle of Anglesey</b>	No response received	
<b>Merthyr Tydfil</b>	£542,000 <sup>32</sup>	90
<b>Monmouthshire</b>	£223,807	Information not provided
<b>Neath Port Talbot</b>	£341,186	123
<b>Newport</b>	£738,147.02	39
<b>Pembrokeshire</b>	£172,399	49 <sup>33</sup>
<b>Powys</b>	Refused - cost and time	
<b>Rhondda, Cynon, Taff</b>	£1,669,501	406
<b>Swansea</b>	£1,621,064	137 <sup>34</sup>
<b>The Vale of Glamorgan</b>	£293,798	76
<b>Torfaen</b>	£492,762	122
<b>Wrexham</b>	£2,097,724.29	89
<b>Northern Irish District Council Areas</b>		
<b>Antrim and Newtownabbey<sup>35</sup></b>	£42,110	Information not provided
<b>Ards and North Down<sup>36</sup></b>	£307,856	18
<b>Armagh City, Banbridge and Craigavon<sup>37</sup></b>	£98,926.16	70 <sup>38</sup>
<b>Belfast<sup>39</sup></b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras	
<b>Causeway Coast and Glens<sup>40</sup></b>	£281,860	34 <sup>41</sup>
<b>Derry City and Strabane<sup>42</sup></b>	£290,721	0
<b>Fermanagh and Omagh<sup>43</sup></b>	£126,701.86	24 <sup>44</sup>
<b>Lisburn Castlereagh<sup>45</sup></b>	No response received	

<sup>32</sup> Response notes that operators are on Grade 3: £16,572-£20,253.

<sup>33</sup> Haverfordwest - 19, Pembroke - 7, Pembroke Dock - 6, Milford Haven - 8, Tenby - 9

<sup>34</sup> CCTV breakdown: Fixed - 132, Mobile - 5

<sup>35</sup> Formerly Antrim and Newtownabbey.

<sup>36</sup> Formerly Ards and North Down.

<sup>37</sup> Formerly Armagh City and District, Banbridge and Craigavon.

<sup>38</sup> CCTV breakdown: Fixed - 68, Mobile - 2

<sup>39</sup> Formerly Belfast City Council along with parts of Castlereagh, Lisburn and North Down.

<sup>40</sup> Formerly Ballymoney, Coleraine, Limavady and Moyle.

<sup>41</sup> CCTV breakdown: Fixed - 32, Mobile - 2.

<sup>42</sup> Formerly Derry and Strabane.

<sup>43</sup> Formerly Fermanagh and Omagh.

<sup>44</sup> Location breakdown: Omagh Town Centre - 16, Enniskillen Town Centre - 8

<sup>45</sup> Formerly Lisburn and Castlereagh.

<b>Mid and East Antrim<sup>46</sup></b>	£110,012	Information not provided
<b>Mid-Ulster<sup>47</sup></b>	£174,000	17
<b>Newry, Mourne and Down<sup>48</sup></b>	£381,549	41
<b>Scottish Council Areas</b>		
<b>Aberdeen City</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Police Scotland	
<b>Aberdeenshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Police Scotland and several town councils that have purchased their own cameras	
<b>Angus</b>	£349,328	51
<b>Argyll and Bute</b>	£50,000	44
<b>Clackmannanshire</b>	£462,225	57
<b>Dumfries and Galloway</b>	£105,000	59
<b>Dundee City</b>	£359,546.12	87 <sup>49</sup>
<b>East Ayrshire</b>	£315,265	81
<b>East Dunbartonshire</b>	£158,002	59 <sup>50</sup>
<b>East Lothian</b>	£62,290.84	76
<b>East Renfrewshire</b>	£1,544,792	65
<b>City of Edinburgh</b>	£4,807,383	238
<b>Eilean Siar</b>	£7,350	21
<b>Falkirk</b>	£587,000	82
<b>Fife</b>	£1,261,047	102
<b>Glasgow City</b>	Asked for clarification and Big Brother Watch failed to provide it	
<b>Highland</b>	£609,000	122
<b>Inverclyde</b>	£554,987	Information not provided
<b>Midlothian</b>	£88,965.29	64
<b>Moray</b>	£48,011	5
<b>North Ayrshire</b>	£759,642.49	141
<b>North Lanarkshire</b>	Information not held - CCTV managed and developed by Town	
<b>Orkney Islands</b>	Information not broken down - See note <sup>51</sup>	15
<b>Perth and Kinross</b>	£258,230	35
<b>Renfrewshire</b>	£427,700	38
<b>Scottish Borders</b>	£122,835	60

<sup>46</sup> Formerly Ballymena, Larne and Carrickfergus.

<sup>47</sup> Formerly Magherafelt, Cookstown and Dungannon and South Tyrone.

<sup>48</sup> Formerly Newry and Mourne and Down.

<sup>49</sup> 41 used for traffic management.

<sup>50</sup> CCTV breakdown: Fixed Cameras - 51, Deployable Cameras - 8

<sup>51</sup> Overall spend: 2012/13 - £782.19, 2013/14 - £5061.58.

<b>Shetland Islands</b>	£38,981.67	182
<b>South Ayrshire</b>	£335,300	80 <sup>52</sup>
<b>South Lanarkshire</b>	£1,409,801	98
<b>Stirling</b>	£720,757	33
<b>West Dunbartonshire</b>	£349,928	112
<b>West Lothian</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Police Scotland	
<b>Total</b>	<b>£38,459,696.20</b>	<b>4528</b>
<b>English Metropolitan Councils</b>		
<b>Barnsley</b>	£199,223.30	43
<b>Birmingham</b>	£5,598,168	422
<b>Bolton</b>	Town Centre CCTV provided by National Car Parks Ltd	225
<b>Bradford</b>	£21,671	283 <sup>53</sup>
<b>Bury</b>	£253,320	83
<b>Calderdale</b>	£291,000	65
<b>Coventry</b>	Refused - Cost and Time	
<b>Doncaster</b>	£2,387,908.11	124
<b>Dudley</b>	£510,000	52
<b>Gateshead</b>	£62,508.72	66
<b>Kirklees</b>	£1,255,159	167
<b>Knowsley</b>	£1,284,472	100
<b>Leeds</b>	Refused - Cost and Time	
<b>Liverpool</b>	£1,758,166.59	352 <sup>54</sup>
<b>Manchester</b>	£2,039,293	263
<b>Newcastle upon Tyne</b>	£288,306.53	76
<b>North Tyneside</b>	£1,876,000	Refused cost and time
<b>Oldham</b>	£1,225,050.49	82
<b>Rochdale</b>	£737,754	80
<b>Rotherham</b>	£574,721	86
<b>Salford</b>	£375,031	130
<b>Sandwell</b>	N/A	400
<b>Sefton</b>	£360,000	90
<b>Sheffield</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities	159

<sup>52</sup> CCTV breakdown: Fixed Cameras - 73, Mobile -7.

<sup>53</sup> CCTV breakdown: On-Street Cameras: 239, Traffic Cameras: 44

<sup>54</sup> CCTV control breakdown: City Watch - 288, Highways - 55, Localities - 9

<b>Solihull</b>	£513,099	135
<b>South Tyneside</b>	£159,873.02	85
<b>St. Helens</b>	£623,540.25	124
<b>Stockport</b>	£1,009,000	95
<b>Sunderland</b>	£259,423	69
<b>Tameside</b>	£744,990	100
<b>Trafford</b>	£1,067,881	126
<b>Wakefield</b>	£1,812,225	177
<b>Walsall</b>	£1,464,108.30	90
<b>Wigan</b>	£296,316	547
<b>Wirral</b>	£450,392.8	129 <sup>55</sup>
<b>Wolverhampton</b>	£291,600	131
<b>Total</b>	<b>£29,790,201.54</b>	<b>5090</b>
<b>English Non-Metropolitan Districts</b>		
<b>Adur</b>	Information not held in a readily available format	
<b>Allerdale</b>	£95,733	0
<b>Amber Valley<sup>56</sup></b>	£361,177.38	68
<b>Arun</b>	£42,249	19
<b>Ashfield</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Nottinghamshire County Council	
<b>Ashford</b>	Refused - Cost and time	
<b>Aylesbury Vale</b>	£609,873	26
<b>Babergh</b>	£56,895	24
<b>Barrow-in-Furness</b>	£154,170	0
<b>Basildon</b>	£137,208.37	106
<b>Basingstoke and Deane</b>	£234,390.54	72 <sup>57</sup>
<b>Bassetlaw</b>	£958,620	52
<b>Blaby</b>	£10,700	Information not provided
<b>Bolsover</b>	£63,656	51
<b>Boston</b>	£844,429	70
<b>Braintree</b>	£120,000	21
<b>Breckland</b>	£240,262	50
<b>Brentwood</b>	£837,817	89
<b>Broadland</b>	Council has no public facing CCTV	
<b>Bromsgrove<sup>58</sup></b>	£111,153.40 <sup>59</sup>	91
<b>Broxbourne</b>	£302,000	136
<b>Broxtowe</b>	£376,299	137

<sup>55</sup> CCTV type breakdown: 112 mainstream fibred CCTV cameras, 17 3G CCTV mobile units.

<sup>56</sup> Reviewing CCTV position at time of response.

<sup>57</sup> CCTV breakdown: Fixed - 71 Mobile - 1

<sup>58</sup> Bromsgrove Council manage Wyre Forest District Council's CCTV, additional payment received: 2012/2013 - £46,656 2013/2014 - £47,643 2014/2015 - £46,285.

<sup>59</sup> CCTV scheme managed by Redditch Borough Council, additional payments: 2012/2013 - £170,742, 2013/2014 - £155,141, 2014/2015 - £236,911.

<b>Burnley</b>	£526,000	89 <sup>60</sup>
<b>Cambridge</b>	£2,457,000	See Huntingdonshire - CCTV Partnership
<b>Cannock Chase</b>	£1,240,535.23	62
<b>Canterbury</b>	£2,470,242.36	336
<b>Carlisle</b>	No response received	
<b>Castle Point</b>	£16,124	26
<b>Charnwood</b>	£1,409,064.82	186
<b>Chelmsford</b>	£1,975,699	380
<b>Cheltenham</b>	449,489.23	57
<b>Cherwell</b>	£489,000	74
<b>Chesterfield</b>	£1,782,726	120
<b>Chichester</b>	£815,513	63
<b>Chiltern</b>	No response received	
<b>Chorley</b>	£358,691.67	62
<b>Christchurch</b>	£935,000	41
<b>Colchester</b>	£1,225,954	89 <sup>61</sup>
<b>Copeland</b>	"Copeland Borough Council have had no involvement with CCTV systems for the last two years" - Cumbria Constabulary's responsibility	
<b>Corby</b>	£711,281	116
<b>Cotswold</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Cirencester Town Council	
<b>Craven</b>	No CCTV - decommissioned as of October 2010	
<b>Crawley</b>	£199,760.99	83
<b>Dacorum</b>	£3,441,016	Information not provided
<b>Dartford</b>	£1,077,295	60 <sup>62</sup>
<b>Daventry</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Daventry Town Council	
<b>Derbyshire Dales</b>	£0	0
<b>Dover</b>	£743,347	46
<b>East Cambridgeshire</b>	£179,812	0 <sup>63</sup>
<b>East Devon</b>	No response received	
<b>East Dorset</b>	See Christchurch's response	
<b>East Hampshire</b>	£0	0
<b>East Hertfordshire</b>	£310,808	41

<sup>60</sup> CCTV breakdown: Monitored - 77 Un-monitored - 12

<sup>62</sup> Includes 4 rapid deployment cameras.

<sup>63</sup> High Street CCTV transferred to City of Ely Council.

<b>East Lindsey</b>	£688,611	98
<b>East Northamptonshire</b>	£144,661	0
<b>East Staffordshire</b>	£550,756.16	45
<b>Eastbourne</b>	£11,244.87	N/A - Operated by Sussex Police
<b>Eastleigh</b>	£465,034	136
<b>Eden</b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras	
<b>Elmbridge</b>	£257,000	51
<b>Epping Forest</b>	£388,058	477
<b>Epsom and Ewell</b>	£214,372.93	20
<b>Erewash</b>	£96,148.72	77 <sup>64</sup>
<b>Exeter</b>	£1,886,936	246
<b>Fareham</b>	£474,300	42
<b>Fenland</b>	£648,765	71
<b>Forest Heath</b>	£383,339.15	24
<b>Forest of Dean</b>	The council has no CCTV cameras	
<b>Fylde</b>	£157,141	29 <sup>65</sup>
<b>Gedling</b>	£262,860	64
<b>Gloucester</b>	£227,354.51	73 <sup>66</sup>
<b>Gosport</b>	£111,333	37
<b>Gravesham</b>	£696,730	52 <sup>67</sup>
<b>Great Yarmouth</b>	Council does not control or maintain any public facing CCTV cameras. All public CCTV cameras are controlled by Community Safety (Great Yarmouth) Ltd (CCTV Co).	
<b>Guildford</b>	£317,227	227 <sup>68</sup>
<b>Hambleton</b>	£377,352	49
<b>Harborough</b>	£153,137	18
<b>Harlow</b>	£55,225	72
<b>Harrogate</b>	£614,333	69
<b>Hart</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Rushmoor Borough Council	
<b>Hastings</b>	£717,038	91 <sup>69</sup>
<b>Havant</b>	£462,000	46

<sup>64</sup> CCTV breakdown: 73 - Fixed and 4 - Mobile.

<sup>65</sup> Includes 2 CCTV vans.

<sup>66</sup> CCTV breakdown: 58 - Fixed Cameras 15 - Mobile Cameras.

<sup>67</sup> CCTV breakdown: Permanent - 47, Rapid deployment - 5.

<sup>68</sup> CCTV use breakdown: Town Centre CCTV - 58 Car Park CCTV - 169.

<sup>69</sup> 29 cameras in the I-Witness network - shared between HBC and the Police.



<b>Hertsmere</b>	Member of Hertfordshire CCTV Partnership - cameras operated by Stevenage Borough Council	23
<b>High Peak</b>	£357,091.05	36
<b>Hinckley and Bosworth</b>	£112,922	28 <sup>70</sup>
<b>Horsham</b>	£102,231	43
<b>Huntingdonshire</b>	£944,342	144
<b>Hyndburn</b>	£83,716	47
<b>Ipswich</b>	£2,884,695	228
<b>Isles of Scilly</b>	£437	6
<b>Kettering</b>	£564,097	53
<b>King's Lynn and West Norfolk</b>	£1,082,325	65
<b>Lancaster</b>	£571,078	36
<b>Lewes</b>	No public facing CCTV cameras	
<b>Lichfield</b>	£377,053	107
<b>Lincoln</b>	£1,633,442	130
<b>Maidstone</b>	£727,500	145 <sup>71</sup>
<b>Maldon</b>	£30,891	67 <sup>72</sup>
<b>Malvern Hills</b>	£15,134	10 <sup>73</sup>
<b>Mansfield</b>	£341,689	124
<b>Melton</b>	£62,685.20	16
<b>Mendip</b>	£299,642	61
<b>Mid Devon</b>	£34,402.18	16
<b>Mid Suffolk</b>	See Babergh District Council's Response	
<b>Mid Sussex</b>	£42,675	24
<b>Mole Valley</b>	£139,324	36
<b>New Forest</b>	£581,724.80	58
<b>Newark and Sherwood</b>	£892,346.27	90 <sup>74</sup>
<b>Newcastle-under-Lyme</b>	£164,300	16
<b>North Devon</b> <sup>75</sup>	£699,874.49	25
<b>North Dorset</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Dorset County Council	

<sup>70</sup> CCTV breakdown: Public CCTV - 25, Mobile Cameras – 3.

<sup>71</sup> CCTV Breakdown: 112 - Fixed Cameras, 33 Mobile Cameras.

<sup>72</sup> Includes main council office building.

<sup>73</sup> CCTV breakdown: 5 - Mobile Lamppost units, 5 - Covert Cameras.

<sup>74</sup> Additional cameras outside the district monitored as part of shared service with another authority.

<sup>75</sup> Council also monitors cameras on behalf of the following: Devon County Council: 9, Green Lanes: 37, Park School 12, Commercial: 29. This yields and income of £21,800 per annum.

<b>North East Derbyshire</b>	£74,150.70	11 <sup>76</sup>
<b>North Hertfordshire</b>	£434,173.93	46
<b>North Kesteven</b>	£196,906.94	9
<b>North Norfolk</b>	£446,516.59	0 <sup>77</sup>
<b>North Warwickshire</b>	£614,106	22
<b>North West Leicestershire</b>	£350,944	35 <sup>78</sup>
<b>Northampton</b>	£0	Information not broken down
<b>Norwich</b>	£1,305,343	142
<b>Nuneaton and Bedworth</b>	£1,528,556.36	114
<b>Oadby and Wigston</b>	£240	2
<b>Oxford</b>	£437,104	45
<b>Pendle</b>	£423,181.74	51
<b>Preston</b>	£451,500	107 <sup>79</sup>
<b>Purbeck</b>	£3,708	2
<b>Redditch</b>	£811,267	48
<b>Reigate and Banstead</b>	£321,000	122
<b>Ribble Valley</b>	£262,915	30 <sup>80</sup>
<b>Richmondshire</b>	£15,840	0
<b>Rochford</b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras	
<b>Rossendale</b>	£32,058	25
<b>Rother</b>	£72,034	10 <sup>81</sup>
<b>Rugby</b>	£733,690	Information not provided
<b>Runnymede<sup>82</sup></b>	£5,281,821.74	365
<b>Rushcliffe</b>	£0	25
<b>Rushmoor</b>	£2,006,530	115 <sup>83</sup>
<b>Ryedale</b>	CCTV is the Responsibility of North Yorkshire Police	
<b>Scarborough</b>	£37,000	61
<b>Sedgemoor</b>	£1,684,611 <sup>84</sup>	147 <sup>85</sup>
<b>Selby</b>	£119,432	11
<b>Sevenoaks</b>	£724,609	96

<sup>76</sup> All mobile.

<sup>77</sup> Cameras transferred to Town Councils at the end of March 2014.

<sup>78</sup> CCTV breakdown - 34 Fixed and 1 CCTV Van.

<sup>79</sup> CCTV breakdown - 106 Fixed, 1 Mobile.

<sup>80</sup> Cameras "offered" by Profile Security Services Ltd.

<sup>81</sup> All operated by Sussex Police.

<sup>82</sup> Following the publication of this report Runnymede Borough Council clarified their response:

<https://www.runnymede.gov.uk/cctvreport>

<sup>83</sup> Shared with Hart District Council.

<sup>84</sup> Response notes an annual CCTV income: 2012/13: £212,219 2013/14: £208,325, 2014/15: £202,667

<sup>85</sup> Also carries out CCTV coverage for Taunton Deane Borough Council.

<b>Shepway</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Folkestone Town Council	
<b>South Bucks</b>	No CCTV - Cameras decommissioned	
<b>South Cambridgeshire</b>	£860	26
<b>South Derbyshire</b>	£12,654	13 <sup>86</sup>
<b>South Hams</b>	No response received	
<b>South Holland</b>	£97,576.41	28
<b>South Kesteven</b>	£1,179,906	149
<b>South Lakeland</b>	Information not held	
<b>South Norfolk</b>	£0	0
<b>South Northamptonshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility Northamptonshire County Council	
<b>South Oxfordshire</b>	£433,255.52	61
<b>South Ribble</b>	£46,526.25	10
<b>South Somerset</b>	£296,500	26
<b>South Staffordshire</b>	£523,800	32
<b>Spelthorne</b>	£480,900	67
<b>St Albans</b>	£1,048,715.32	207
<b>St Edmundsbury</b>	£1,468,172.96	101
<b>Stafford</b>	£275,364	Information not provided
<b>Staffordshire Moorlands</b>	£502,756.19	40
<b>Stevenage</b>	£284,000	62
<b>Stratford-on-Avon</b>	£560,335	91
<b>Stroud</b>	£33,498.32	120
<b>Suffolk Coastal</b>	No cameras are operated by, or on behalf of, Suffolk Coastal	
<b>Surrey Heath</b>	£74,544	29
<b>Swale</b>	£780,490.05	84
<b>Tamworth</b>	Information cannot be broken down between public space and non-public space CCTV	78 <sup>87</sup>
<b>Tandridge</b>	£0	16
<b>Taunton Deane</b>	£680,774.78	N/A - CCTV managed by Sedgemore District Council

<sup>86</sup> Police have access to 7 of the cameras - no cost associated.

<sup>87</sup> As of 18th October 2012.

<b>Teignbridge</b>	No information held - CCTV responsibility transferred to a local community group in 2012	
<b>Tendring</b>	£71,723.30	Information not provided
<b>Test Valley</b>	£77,077	16
<b>Tewkesbury</b>	£3,600	12
<b>Thanet</b>	£890,610	94
<b>Three Rivers</b>	£56,247.86	56 <sup>88</sup>
<b>Tonbridge and Malling</b>	£585,000	159
<b>Torridge</b>	£672,024.51	18
<b>Tunbridge Wells</b>	£673,629	38
<b>Uttlesford</b>	£42,673	1
<b>Vale of White Horse</b>	£460,936.68	29
<b>Warwick</b>	£1,871,998	190
<b>Watford</b>	£1,388,030	92
<b>Waveney</b>	£152,400	61
<b>Waverley</b>	£6,659.90	4
<b>Wealden</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Sussex Police - some town and parish councils may contribute to the cost	
<b>Wellingborough</b>	£172,021	17
<b>Welwyn Hatfield</b>	£665,138	41
<b>West Devon</b>	No response received	
<b>West Dorset<sup>89</sup></b>	£93,053	See Weymouth's response
<b>West Lancashire</b>	£1,544,964.18	95
<b>West Lindsey</b>	£267,653.71	24
<b>West Oxfordshire</b>		
<b>West Somerset</b>	£151,591	Information not provided
<b>Weymouth and Portland</b>	£1,356,404	113
<b>Winchester</b>	£552,000	115
<b>Woking</b>	£890,776	691
<b>Worcester</b>	£211,500	68
<b>Worthing</b>	See Adur's response	
<b>Wychavon</b>	£451,800	32 <sup>90</sup>
<b>Wycombe</b>	£1,445,073	106

<sup>88</sup> CCTV control: Local Authority: 51, Community Safety Partnership: 5.

<sup>89</sup> Also provides funding towards the monitoring/maintenance costs of the Dorchester and Bridport schemes as well as two town councils.

<sup>90</sup> An additional 68 fixed cameras are monitored for Worcester City Council.

<b>Wyre</b>	£122,735.13	29
<b>Wyre Forest</b>	£212,150.26	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>£93,459,902.42</b>	<b>11639</b>

**Table 8: Local Authority Expenditure on Installation, Operation and Maintenance**

Council	Total Spend - Installation (Fixed)			Total	Total Spend - Installation (Mobile)			Total	Total Spend - Operation and Maintenance (Fixed)			Total	Total Spend - Operation and Maintenance (Mobile)			Total
	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	
<b>City of London and London boroughs</b>																
<b>City of London</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of City of London Police															
<b>Barking and Dagenham</b>	No response received															
<b>Barnet</b>	Information not provided							£869,750	£717,508	£888,954	<b>£2,476,212</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Bexley</b>	Information not provided - commercially sensitive							£929,500	£935,200	£869,900	<b>£2,734,600</b>	£37,000	£7,000	£33,400	<b>£77,400</b>	
<b>Brent</b>	£757,000	£500,000	£400,000	<b>£1,657,000</b>	£12,000	£12,000	£48,000	<b>£72,000</b>	Information combined with installation costs			£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Bromley</b>	£0	£26,000	£0	<b>£26,000</b>	Information not broken down			£132,110	£132,110	£132,110	<b>£396,330</b>	£11,950	£11,950	£11,950	<b>£35,850</b>	
<b>Camden</b>	£63,049.35	£1,995	£73,374.28	<b>£138,418.63</b>	Information not broken down			£268,865.64	£787,763.86	£682,282.91	<b>£1,738,912.41</b>	Information not broken down				
<b>Croydon</b>	£0	£471,500	£256,539	<b>£728,039</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£1,554,627	£1,405,788	£1,305,422	<b>£4,265,837</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Ealing</b>	£192,109.28	£227,777.07	£42,223.95	<b>£462,110.30</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			£348,527.21	£1,090,079.94	£851,705.43	<b>£2,290,240.58</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total				
<b>Enfield</b>	£326,356.44	£567,337.80	£1,106,269.01	<b>£1,999,963.25</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			£320,404	£354,064	£352,248	<b>£1,026,716</b>	£38,765.40	£23,084	£54,908	<b>£116,757.40</b>	
<b>Greenwich</b>	£0	£188,038	£0	<b>£188,038</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£60,439	£43,786	£77,187	<b>£181,412</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Hackney</b>	£40,000	£89,000	£287,000	<b>£416,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£205,000	£191,000	£200,000	<b>£596,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Hammersmith and Fulham</b>	£377,278	£378,946	£221,932	<b>£978,156</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£111,509	£85,173	£59,148	<b>£255,830</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Haringey</b>	£12,935	£11,353	£11,353	<b>£35,641</b>	Council does not use Mobile CCTV			£282,179.72	£283,446.06	£240,878.11	<b>£806,503.89</b>	Council does not use Mobile CCTV				
<b>Harrow</b>	No response received															
<b>Havering</b>	£0	£56,055	£80,513.	<b>£136,568.</b>	Included in installation costs									<b>£136,56</b>		

			48	48												8.48
<b>Hillingdon</b>	£0	£0	£11,800	<b>£11,800</b>	£0	£12,475	£0	<b>£12,475</b>	£150,925	£138,382	£134,708	<b>£424,015</b>	£335	£0	£0	<b>£335</b>
<b>Hounslow</b>	£45,631.57	£84,107.99	£102,899	<b>£232,638.56</b>	£57,472.28	£2,863	£8,335	<b>£68,670</b>	£132,000	£132,000	£132,000	<b>£396,000</b>	Information not broken down - see previous total			
<b>Islington</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£70,000	£0	£0	<b>£70,000</b>	£96,000	£96,000	£96,000	<b>£288,000</b>	Information cannot be broken down - same contract			
<b>Kensington and Chelsea</b>	£0	£0	£84,579	<b>£84,579</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£155,071	£141,717	£85,344	<b>£382,132</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Kingston upon Thames</b>	£45,000	£0	£0	<b>£45,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	Information cannot be broken down - CCTV budget includes cost of Emergency out of hours call services, support to premises security functions, car parking help centre response, car park monitoring, and CCTV support and moving traffic enforcement observations.							
<b>Lambeth</b>	Information not provided															
<b>Lewisham</b>	Information cannot be provided	Expenditure on spares and repairs and a refit of recording equipment has been included in the "operation and maintenance" section				Information not broken down			Information cannot be provided	£568,745	£452,393	<b>£1,021,138</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			
<b>Merton</b>	Refused - cost and time															
<b>Newham</b>	£197,891	£175,147	£215,139	<b>£588,177</b>	Not currently using mobile CCTV			£185,595	£115,965	£119,358	<b>£420,918</b>	Not currently using mobile CCTV				
<b>Redbridge</b>	£14,808.00	£326,140.98	£103,309.09	<b>£444,258.07</b>	£8,289.00	£7,891.00	£8,089.00	<b>£24,269</b>	£193,129.80	£199,008.32	£210,688.87	<b>£602,826.99</b>	£1,040.00	£2,000.00	£1,500.00	<b>£4,540</b>
<b>Richmond upon Thames</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£37,000	£37,000	£37,000	<b>£111,000</b>	£2,125	£2,125	£2,125	<b>£6,375</b>
<b>Southwark</b>	£57,944	£241,460	£116,051	<b>£415,455</b>	Information not broken down			<b>£0</b>	£114,719	£105,018	£288,145	<b>£507,882</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Sutton</b>	£0	£12,342	£470,996.25	<b>£483,338.25</b>	£3,760	£5,555.28	£3,980	<b>£13,295.28</b>	£155,540.79	£71,697.31	£105,391.68	<b>£332,629.78</b>	Information cannot be broken down			
<b>Tower Hamlets</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£153,015	£120,293	£54,950	<b>£328,258</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see fixed costs			
<b>Waltham Forest</b>	£431,696	£316,417	£358,823	<b>£1,106,936</b>	Information not broken down			<b>£0</b>	£566,000	£515,400	£506,800	<b>£1,588,200</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Wandsworth</b>	£28,877	£0	£0	<b>£28,877</b>	£14,000	£0.00	£0	<b>£14,000</b>	£237,862	£258,542	£293,410	<b>£789,814</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Westminster</b>	£636,149.11	£33,149.11	£33,149.11	<b>£702,447.33</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			<b>£0</b>	£2,032,000	£1,734,000	£1,450,000	<b>£5,216,000</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see fixed total			
<b>Total</b>				<b>£10,909,4</b>				<b>£274,709</b>				<b>£29,177,4</b>	<b>£241,25</b>			

	40.87				.28				07.65				7.40			
English County Councils																
<b>Buckinghamshire</b>	£0	£45,000	£23,000	<b>£68,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£7,013	£8,208	£3,116	<b>£18,337</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Cambridgeshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£8,289	£32,277	£25,800	<b>£66,366</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Cumbria</b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras															
<b>Derbyshire</b>	Refused - Cost and Time															
<b>Devon</b>	£20,346	£11,720	£2,700	<b>£34,766</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£113,243	£116,888	£121,327	<b>£351,458</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Dorset</b>	£0	£0	£16,074.59	<b>£16,074.59</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£17,133.52	£17,133.52	£17,133.52	<b>£51,400.56</b>	£2,800	£2,250	£0	<b>£5,050</b>
<b>East Sussex</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils															
<b>Essex</b>	No response received															
<b>Gloucestershire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£12,802.58	£12,802.58	£12,802.58	<b>£38,407.74</b>	Information not broken down			<b>£0</b>
<b>Hampshire</b>	£147,215								£88,089	£88,089	£88,089	<b>£264,267</b>	Information not broken down - please see fixed costs			
<b>Hertfordshire</b>	£82,396	£0	£0	<b>£82,396</b>	£728,649	£205,587	£0	<b>£934,236</b>	Information cannot be broken down - part of a larger contract							
<b>Kent</b>	£76,500	£49,500	£54,000	<b>£180,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£46,000	£47,800	£48,800	<b>£142,600</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Lancashire</b>	On-street CCTV managed by the District Councils															
<b>Leicestershire</b>	£32,177.33	£4,723.86	£70,496.50	<b>£107,397.69</b>	£16,407.86	N/A	£10,171	<b>£26,578.86</b>	See Leicester City Council's response							
<b>Lincolnshire</b>	No response received															
<b>Norfolk</b>	Information not provided															
<b>Northamptonshire</b>	£10,000	£0	£0	<b>£10,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£11,000	£17,400	£13,600	<b>£42,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>North Yorkshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils															
<b>Nottinghamshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils															
<b>Oxfordshire</b>	£0	£13,840	£0	<b>£13,840</b>	£10,999	£0	£0	<b>£10,999</b>	£19,839	£21,721	£22,845	<b>£64,405</b>	£765	£520	£795	<b>£2,080</b>
<b>Somerset</b>	£15,000	£25,000	£10,000	<b>£50,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£44,500	£47,000	£35,500	<b>£127,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>



<b>Staffordshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils																
<b>Suffolk</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils																
<b>Surrey</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils																
<b>Warwickshire</b>	Refused: Cost and Time																
<b>West Sussex</b>	£27,819	£40,291	£34,275	<b>£102,385</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£5,302	£1,650	£1,650	<b>£8,602</b>	£4,800	£5,470	£7,100	<b>£17,370</b>	
<b>Worcestershire</b>	No response received																
<b>Total</b>				<b>£812,074.28</b>				<b>£971,813.86</b>				<b>£1,174,843.00</b>					<b>£24,500</b>
<b>English Unitary Authorities</b>																	
<b>Bath and North East Somerset</b>	Refused - Cost and Time																
<b>Bedford Borough</b>	£27,804	£27,329	£60,705	<b>£115,838</b>	£1,015	£17,870	£11,640	<b>£30,525</b>	£286,190	£284,950	£281,734	<b>£852,874</b>	£0	£230	£640	<b>£870</b>	
<b>Blackburn with Darwen</b>	£983.36	£32,316.80	£26,955.03	<b>£60,255.19</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£125,603.34	£139,796.95	£136,934.02	<b>£402,334.31</b>	£0	£0	£1,300	<b>£1,300</b>	
<b>Blackpool</b>	£216,126	£0	£0	<b>£216,126</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£294,017	£227,995	£193,430	<b>£715,442</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Bournemouth</b>	£8,526	£0	£36,623	<b>£45,149</b>	£18,446	£7,013	£0	<b>£25,459</b>	£506,014	£500,273	491,485	<b>1,497,772</b>	£12,536	£15,085	£6,228	<b>£33,849</b>	
<b>Bracknell Forest</b>	Information not provided								£850	£16,400	£20,500	<b>£37,750</b>	£0	£0	£4,000	<b>£4,000</b>	
<b>Brighton and Hove</b>	£30,673.80	£849.37	£11,332.20	<b>£42,855.37</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>91</sup></b>	£109,598.74	£93,695.82	£92,100.81	<b>£295,395.37</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Bristol, City of</b>	Information not broken down - included in operation and maintenance costs				£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£129,565	£99,751	£56,473	<b>£285,789</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Central Bedfordshire</b>	£0	£0	£100,000	<b>£100,000</b>	£0	£0	£40,000	<b>£40,000</b>	£52,740	£40,627	£40,627	<b>£133,994</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Cheshire East</b>	£2,225.90	£15,550.71	£7,342.59	<b>£25,119.20</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£758,603.28	£536,956.56	£326,431.23	<b>£1,621,991.07</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Cheshire West and Chester</b>	£195,863	£196,298	£126,078	<b>£518,239</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£156,750.53	£137,405.24	£149,425.32	<b>£443,581.09</b>	£9,809.18	£10,513.87	£12,107.42	<b>£32,430.47</b>	

<sup>91</sup> Authority does not operate mobile CCTV.

<b>Cornwall</b>	£9,509	£23,981	£423,617	<b>£457,107</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£251,012	£263,530	£7,000	<b>£521,542</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Darlington</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£173,983	£187,161	£201,687	<b>£562,831</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Derby</b>	£60,000	£120,000	£8,000	<b>£188,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£310,000	£280,000	£250,000	<b>£840,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Durham</b>	£48,666	£39,427	£32,947	<b>£121,040</b>	£0	£0	£30,400	<b>£30,400</b>	£714,318	£343,789	£181,381	<b>£1,239,488</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Riding of Yorkshire</b>	£74,540	£46,209	£79,197	<b>£199,946</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£49,942	£50,811	£53,948	<b>£154,701</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Halton</b>				£305,000					£43,057	£46,788	£67,027	<b>£156,872</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Hartlepool</b>	£208,229	£194,720	£199,589	<b>£602,538<sup>92</sup></b>					Information cannot be broken down - see fixed installation costs							
<b>Herefordshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£169,476	£164,329	£156,424	<b>£490,229</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Isle of Wight</b>									Refused - commercially sensitive information							
<b>Kingston upon Hull, City of</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>93</sup></b>	£426,000	£426,000	£426,000	<b>£1,278,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Leicester</b>				See Leicestershire Country Council's response					£732,269.68	£788,965.03	£776,264.22	<b>£2,297,498.93<sup>94</sup></b>	Information cannot be broken down - see fixed total			
<b>Luton</b>	£202,504	£202,504	£202,504	<b>£607,512</b>					Information not broken down - see fixed installation costs				£8,013	£32,163	£805	<b>£40,981</b>
<b>Medway</b>									No response received							
<b>Middlesbrough</b>	£61,000	£0	£0	<b>£61,000</b>	£25,000	£0	£5,000	<b>£30,000</b>	£351,000	£351,000	£351,000	<b>£1,053,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Milton Keynes</b>	£50,000	£0	£0	<b>£50,000</b>	£6,000	£6,000	£43,000	<b>£55,000</b>	£12,000	£32,000	£34,500	<b>£78,500</b>	£10,000	£10,000	£0	<b>£20,000</b>
<b>North East Lincolnshire</b>	£12,483.40	£2,297.00	£5,639.00	<b>£20,419.40</b>	£29,000	£12,874.50	£1,761.60	<b>£43,636.10</b>	£46,596.14	£84,645.65	£45,497.99	<b>£176,739.78</b>	£32	£64	£1,801.60	<b>£1,897.60</b>
<b>North Lincolnshire</b>	£0	£52,040	£101,000	<b>£153,040</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£200,070	£212,350	£202,220	<b>£614,640</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>North Somerset</b>				£28,000 spent on fixed cameras									£305,000 spent on fixed cameras			
<b>Northumberland</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£750	£0	£0	<b>£750</b>	£179,580	£186,780	£175,480	<b>£541,840</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Nottingham</b>	£50,093.90	£49,889.26	£46,560.68	<b>£146,534.84</b>					Information not broken down				Information not held			

<sup>92</sup> Total CCTV expenditure.

<sup>93</sup> Councils do not operate mobile CCTV.

<sup>94</sup> Shared cost with Leicestershire County Council.

<b>Peterborough</b>	£119,988	£116,329	£42,167	<b>£278,484</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£182,062	£190,050	£211,897	<b>£584,009</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Plymouth</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£50,248.39	£61,851.09	£101,776.78	<b>£213,876.26</b>	Information not broken down				
<b>Poole</b>	£126,922	£4,395	£60,177	<b>£191,494</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£44,392	£29,584	£22,829	<b>£96,805</b>	40,673	47,523	47,693	<b>£135,889</b>	
<b>Portsmouth</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£187,387.25	£243,776.20	£221,407.67	<b>£652,571.12</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Reading</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Thames Valley Police																
<b>Redcar and Cleveland</b>	£0	£13,783	£3,933	<b>£17,716</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£145,273	£160,907	£1,114,730	<b>£1,420,910</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Rutland</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£3,500	£3,600	£3,600	<b>£10,700</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Shropshire</b>	No response received																
<b>Slough</b>	£27,500	£45,000	£163,933	<b>£236,443</b>	£12,000.00	£5,800.00	£0.00	<b>£17,800</b>	£22,500.00	£22,500.00	£22,500.00	<b>£67,500</b>	£28,184.00	£28,184.00	£31,584.00	<b>£87,952</b>	
<b>South Gloucestershire</b>	£25,452	£37,955	£17,500	<b>£80,907</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£68,700	£72,700	£72,700	<b>£214,100</b>	£15,380 <sup>95</sup>				
<b>Southampton</b>	£820,000	£820,000	£820,000	<b>£2,460,000</b>	Outsourced - see fixed installation costs												
<b>Southend-on-Sea</b>	£2,020	£3,470	£0	<b>£5,490</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£76,760	£39,850	£65,210	<b>£181,820</b>	£690	£1,890	£3,900	<b>£6,480</b>	
<b>Stockton-on-Tees</b>	£0	£34,000	£32,000	<b>£66,000</b>	£15,540	£5,620	£3,600	<b>£24,670</b>	£38,000	£40,000	£42,000	<b>£120,000</b>	£4,500	£4,800	£4,900	<b>£14,200</b>	
<b>Stoke-on-Trent</b>	£45,449	£53,070	£61,986	<b>£160,505<sup>96</sup></b>	Information cannot be broken down - see note				<b>£0</b>	£574,533	£552,181	£521,533	<b>£1,648,247</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see note <sup>97</sup>			
<b>Swindon</b>	Information cannot be broken down - paid for by the general maintenance budget																
<b>Telford and Wrekin</b>	£53,497	£31,704	£23,317	<b>£108,518</b>	£200	£11,200	£19,485	<b>£30,885</b>	£53,497	£31,704	£23,317	<b>£108,518</b>	£200	£11,200	£19,485	<b>£30,885</b>	
<b>Thurrock</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£60,000	£60,000	£60,000	<b>£180,000</b>	Cannot breakdown information				
<b>Torbay</b>	£15,099	£8,078	£10,492	<b>£33,669</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see fixed installation costs				<b>£0</b>	£334,664	£355,944	£350,439	<b>£1,041,047</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see fixed operation and maintenance costs			
<b>Warrington</b>	£12,552	£9,795.76	£12,321	<b>£34,669</b>	£1,000	£400	£200	<b>£1,600</b>	£21,938.20	£400	£25,240	<b>£47,578</b>	£450	£250	£100	<b>£800</b>	
<b>West Berkshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£207,050	£216,220	£224,720	<b>£647,990<sup>98</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	

<sup>95</sup> Information broken down by calendar year: 2014 - £7,500, 2015 - £7,800.

<sup>96</sup> This return figure is an estimated internal recharge cost for the monitoring centre which houses other council services and so is not split between fixed and mobile cameras.

<sup>97</sup> This return figure is an estimated internal recharge cost for the monitoring centre which houses other council services and so is not split between fixed and mobile cameras.

<b>Wiltshire</b>	£0	£7,332	£9,800	<b>£17,132</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£63,835	£53,915	£55,043	<b>£172,793</b> 99	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Windsor and Maidenhead</b>	£6,117	£9,127	£2,280	<b>£17,524</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£352,000	£480,000	441000 <sup>100</sup>	<b>£1,273,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Wokingham</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£2,000	£2,000	£2,000	<b>£6,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>York</b>	£99,500	£0	£38,270	<b>£137,770</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£107,637	£122,034	£130,203	<b>£359,874</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Total</b>				<b>£7,882,040</b>				<b>£330,725</b>				<b>£25,340,142.93</b>				<b>£411,534</b>
<b>Welsh Unitary Authorities</b>																
<b>Blaenau Gwent</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£504,259	£503,175	£157,306	<b>£1,164,740</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Bridgend</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£354,851	£376,817	£383,661	<b>£1,115,329</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Caerphilly</b>	£0	£30,530	£5,850	<b>£36,380</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£524,147	£526,316	£514,712	<b>£1,565,175</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see fixed CCTV total			
<b>Cardiff</b>	£0	£0	£10,437	<b>£10,437</b>	£0	£11,750	£21,499	<b>£33,249</b>	£153,192	£153,192	£153,192	<b>£459,576</b>	£10,940	£0	£2,341	<b>£13,281</b>
<b>Carmarthen shire</b>	£6,369.90	£15,618.00	£4,458.00	<b>£26,445.90</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£51,216.11	£56,410.50	£57,137.65	<b>£164,764.26</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Ceredigion</b>	Council "no longer provide CCTV"															
<b>Conwy</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£252,165.13	£229,109.25	£166,372.13	<b>£674,646.51</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed costs			
<b>Denbighshire</b>	£6,570	£6,532	£6,519	<b>£19,621</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see mobile costs			£300,348	£280,418	£274,060	<b>£854,826</b>	
<b>Flintshire</b>	Refused - S. 43: Commercial Interests										Information not provided					
<b>Gwynedd</b>	£6,690	£0	£0	<b>£6,690</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£23,310	£23,310	£23,310	<b>£69,930</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Isle of Anglesey</b>	No response received															
<b>Merthyr Tydfil</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£188,660	£180,720	£172,620	<b>£542,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Monmouths hire</b>	£70,000	£80,000	£73,807	<b>£223,807</b>	The council makes an annual contribution to a shared service (this includes several councils and a police force) - See fixed installation costs											

<sup>98</sup> Windsor and Maidenhead Council made the following payments – 2012/13 - £138,510, 2013/14 - £143,190, 2014/15 - £145,340

<sup>99</sup> Costs broken down: Staffing - Agency; 2012/13 - £205,175, 2013/14 - £93,741, 2014/15 - £95,387. Staffing - CCTV; 2012/13 - £30,062, 2013/14 - £30,038, 2014/15 - £13,174

<sup>100</sup> Response notes: "This information has been compiled by using the position numbers PES007 and PES008 which are for CCTV Operations, it is not included in the figures above and these figures do not include any agency payments as this information also falls into the category of information which we would be able to arrive at from Agresso within 18 hours, but which is not held at present in any other format than within the many transactions in Agresso".

<b>Neath Port Talbot</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£120,460	£113,144	£107,582	<b>£341,186</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Newport</b>	£0	£40,000 <sup>101</sup>	£0	<b>£40,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	Information not provided	<b>£0</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Pembrokes hire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£71,690	£56,405	£44,304	<b>£172,399</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Powys</b>	Refused - cost and time															
<b>Rhondda, Cynon, Taff</b>	£41,451	£41,305	£2,433	<b>£85,189</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see fixed total				£163,406	£98,560	£89,140	<b>£351,106</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			
<b>Swansea</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£7,200	£0	£0	<b>£7,200</b>	£536,446	£536,446	£538,172	<b>£1,611,064</b>	£700	£700	£1,400	<b>£2,800</b>
<b>The Vale of Glamorgan</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£17,057	£25,647	£16,639	<b>£59,343</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Torfaen</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£2,400	£0	<b>£2,400</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£21,779	£41,530	£38,937	<b>£102,246</b>
<b>Wrexham</b>	£0	£17,118	£89,604.88	<b>£106,722.88</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£390,633	£384,834	£389,683	<b>£1,165,150</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Northern Irish District Council Areas</b>																
<b>Antrim and Newtownabbey</b>	£0	£16,500	£0	<b>£16,500</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£6,189	£6,349	£13,072	<b>£25,610</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Ards and North Down</b>	£6,389	£3,238	£9,875	<b>£19,502</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£96,208	£100,358	£91,788	<b>£288,354</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Armagh City, Banbridge and Craigavon</b>	£1,534	£0	£21,865.63	<b>£23,399.63</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see fixed total				£38,632.70	£17,193.97	£19,699.86	<b>£75,526.53</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see fixed total			
<b>Belfast</b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras															
<b>Causeway Coast and Glens</b>	£0	£7,025	£7,804	<b>£14,829</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£88,820	£90,134	£88,077	<b>£267,031</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Derry City and Strabane</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£102,031 <sup>102</sup>	£91,380	£97,310	<b>£290,721</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>

<sup>101</sup> Response notes: Includes cost of hard drives.

<sup>102</sup> Cost of temporary CCTV for specific events: 2012/13: £24,531, 2013/14: £13,880, 2014/14: £19,810.

<b>Fermanagh and Omagh</b>	£0	£0	£158	<b>£158</b> <sup>103</sup>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£24,661.86	£21,442	£23,110	<b>£69,213.86</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Lisburn Castlereagh</b>	No response received															
<b>Mid and East Antrim</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£4,935	£0	£0	<b>£4,935</b>	£28,633	£34,258	£37,202	<b>£100,093</b>	£498	£2,071	£2,415	<b>£4,984</b>
<b>Mid-Ulster</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£58,000	£58,000	£58,000	<b>£174,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Newry, Mourne and Down</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b> <sup>104</sup>	£127,183	£127,183	£127,183	<b>£381,549</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Scottish Council Areas</b>																
<b>Aberdeen City</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Police Scotland															
<b>Aberdeenshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Police Scotland and several town councils that have purchased their own cameras.															
<b>Angus</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£129,180	£86,546	£133,602	<b>£349,328</b> <sub>105</sub>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Argyll and Bute</b>	£6,000	£6,000	£25,000	<b>£37,000</b>	£3,000	£5,000	£5,000	<b>£13,000</b>	Information not broken down - See installation costs							
<b>Clackmannanshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£157,564	£169,148	£135,513	<b>£462,225</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Dumfries and Galloway</b>	Unknown	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£35,000	£35,000	£35,000	<b>£105,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Dundee City</b>	£10,000	£10,000	£20,000	<b>£40,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£112,351.01	£115,328.62	£91,836.79	<b>£319,546.12</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Ayrshire</b>	£4,200	£37,300	£2,665	<b>£44,165</b>	£0	£0	£22,700	<b>£22,700</b>	£78,000	£84,500	£85,900	<b>£248,400</b>	£0	£0	Information not provided	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Dunbartonshire</b>	Unable to break down installation costs - any installation costs included with the operational and maintenance costs								£28,544	£23,840	£23,481	<b>£75,865</b>	£45,612	£5,000	£31,525	<b>£82,137</b>
<b>East Lothian</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£37,790.84	£12,250	£12,250	<b>£62,290.84</b>	Information not provided			
<b>East Renfrewshire</b>	£144,803	£110,720	£137,606	<b>£393,129</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see installation costs											

<sup>103</sup> An additional £35,065 was provided by the Department of Justice to Fermanagh District Council.

<sup>104</sup> Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

<sup>105</sup> Maintenance costs only - operational costs met by Police Scotland.

<b>City of Edinburgh</b>	£0	£0	£13,000	<b>£13,000</b>	£28,000	£7,000	£0	<b>£35,000</b>	£957,266	£933,450	£910,933	<b>£2,801,649</b>	Information cannot be broken down			<b>£0</b>
<b>Eilean Siar</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£2,000	£3,350	£2,000	<b>£7,350</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Falkirk</b>	£162,000	£194,000	£132,000	<b>£488,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>106</sup></b>	Information cannot be broken down - see installation costs section			£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Fife</b>	£72,395	£72,395	£72,395	<b>£217,185<sub>107</sub></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£94,358	£94,358	£94,358	<b>£283,074<sub>108</sub></b>	£5,786	£4,839	£26,569	<b>£37,194</b>
<b>Glasgow City</b>	Big Brother Watch failed to provide adequate clarification															
<b>Highland</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>109</sup></b>	£66,000	£66,000	£66,000	<b>£198,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Inverclyde</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£10,000	£0	<b>£10,000</b>	£43,306	£29,076	£26,900	<b>£99,282</b>	£5,626	£13,220	£5,415	<b>£24,261</b>
<b>Midlothian</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£38,288.6	£25,972.89	£24,703.74	<b>£88,965.29</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Moray</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£2,695	£0	<b>£2,695</b>	£14,000	£14,000	£14,000	<b>£42,000<sup>110</sup></b>	£1,984	£771	£561	<b>£3,316</b>
<b>North Ayrshire</b>	£5,100.00	£10,100.00	£21,047.29	<b>£36,247.29</b>	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	<b>£0</b>	£53,069.00	£64,415.24	£55,805.12	<b>£173,289.36</b>	£8,742.27	£9,554.72	£6,804.95	<b>£25,101.94</b>
<b>North Lanarkshire</b>	Information not held - CCTV managed and developed by Town Centre Activities															
<b>Orkney Islands</b>	Information not broken down - See overall total															
<b>Perth and Kinross</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£133,888	£56,972	£67,370	<b>£258,230</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Renfrewshire</b>	£0	£0	£260,000	<b>£260,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£70,640	<b>£70,640</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Scottish Borders</b>	£0	£0	£24,663	<b>£24,663</b>	Not broken down - please see response to question 1				£32,724	£32,724	£32,724	<b>£98,172</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Shetland Islands</b>	£250	£1,125	£0	<b>£1,375</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£10,602.87	£16,861.42	£9,976.14	<b>£37,440.43</b>	£83.12	£83.12	£0	<b>£166.24</b>
<b>South Ayrshire</b>	£25,000	£0	£13,000	<b>£38,000</b>	£0	£9,000	£6,500	<b>£15,500</b>	£83,000	£68,000	£93,000	<b>£244,000</b>	£12,600	£12,600	£12,600	<b>£37,800</b>
<b>South Lanarkshire</b>	£0	£7,597	£16,288	<b>£23,885</b>	Information not broken down				£73,972	£73,972	£73,972	<b>£221,916</b>	Information not broken down			

<sup>106</sup> Authority does not operate mobile CCTV.

<sup>107</sup> In each case a total of £144,790 was paid 50/50 by Fife Council and the Fife Division of Police Scotland.

<sup>108</sup> In each case a total of £188,717 was paid 50/50 by Fife Council and the Fife Division of Police Scotland.

<sup>109</sup> Authority does not operate mobile CCTV.

<sup>110</sup> Net amounts.

<b>Stirling</b> <sup>111</sup>	Information cannot be broken down - see note <sup>112</sup>												<b>599,200</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>West Dunbartons hire</b>	£0	£13,094	£11,834	<b>£24,928</b>	£20,000	£19,400	£51,487	<b>£90,887</b>	£81,669	£99,416	£53,028	<b>£234,113</b>	Information not broken down				
<b>West Lothian</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Police Scotland																
<b>Total</b>	<b>£2,271,259</b>				<b>£237,566</b>				<b>£18,208,483.20</b>				<b>£1,188,113</b>				
<b>English Metropolitan Councils</b>																	
<b>Barnsley</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£71,493.72	£67,464.15	£60,265.43	<b>£199,223.30</b>	Information cannot be broken down				
<b>Birmingham</b>	Unable to break down installation costs				£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b> <sup>113</sup>	£704,473	£649,283	£665,407	<b>£2,019,163</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Bolton</b>	Town Centre CCTV provided by National Car Parks Ltd																
<b>Bradford</b>	£0	£6,500	£15,171	<b>£21,671</b>	Information not broken down				Information cannot be broken down - paid for as part of a wider departmental budget								
<b>Bury</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£128,030	£74,630	£50,660	<b>£253,320</b>	Information cannot be broken down				
<b>Calderdale</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£35,000	£35,000	£35,000	<b>£105,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Coventry</b>	Refused - Cost and Time																
<b>Doncaster</b>	£0	£6,203.76	£12,892.83	<b>£19,096.59</b>	£0	£26,100	£0	<b>£26,100</b>	£469,641	£489,650	£504,592	<b>£1,463,883</b>	£10,827	£4,195	£6,415	<b>£21,437</b>	
<b>Dudley</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£50,000	£50,000	£50,000	<b>£150,000</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total				
<b>Gateshead</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£36,082.51	£26,426.21	<b>£62,508.72</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Kirklees</b>	£318,000	£309,000	£298,000	<b>£925,000</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed installation costs												
<b>Knowsley</b>	£30,695	£27,312	£2,975	<b>£60,982</b> <sup>114</sup>	Information cannot be broken down				£42,234	£51,100	£51,162	<b>£144,496</b> <sup>115</sup>	Information cannot be broken down				
<b>Leeds</b>	Refused - Cost and Time																
<b>Liverpool</b>	£36,670.50	£9,715.34	£10,334.75	<b>£56,720.59</b>	£0	£1,000	£3,500	<b>£4,500</b>	£200,554	£200,554	£200,554	<b>£601,662</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Manchester</b>	£35,984	£37,955	£36,238	<b>£110,177</b>	Information net		£11,061	<b>£11,061</b>	£741,231	£624,358	£552,466	<b>£1,918,05</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed				

<sup>111</sup> Part of the Forth Valley CCTV Partnership from 2012-2014 (run independently 2014-2015).

<sup>112</sup> Combined expenditure: 2012-2013: £196,222, 2013-2014: £218,673, 2014-2015: £184,305.

<sup>113</sup> The council does not operate mobile cameras.

<sup>114</sup> Huyton - 2012/13: £23,705, 2013/14: £15,167, 2014/15: £2,500.

<sup>115</sup> Huyton - 2012/13: £9,015, 2013/14: £8,007, 2014/15: £8,625.



	broken down - See fixed total							5	total							
<b>Newcastle upon Tyne</b>	£15,000	£11,800	£68,162.78	<b>£94,962.78</b>	121,200.00	£10,102.20	£9,488.30	<b>£140,790.50</b>	£10,587.30	£3,239.94	£2,882.91	<b>£16,710.15</b>	£13,592.94	£11,489.10	£10,761.06	<b>£35,843.10</b>
<b>North Tyneside</b>	Information not broken down - see operation and maintenance costs								£69,000	£65,000	£39,000	<b>£173,000</b>	Information cannot be broken down			
<b>Oldham</b>	£0	£8,500	£48,456.34	<b>£56,956.34</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£58,639	£75,697.00	£49,715.00	<b>£184,051</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Rochdale</b>	£200,000	£200,000	£200,000	<b>£600,000</b>	£48,133.69	£48,033.92	£41,586.60	<b>£137,754.21</b>	Information not provided							
<b>Rotherham</b>	£38,130				See fixed costs - information cannot be broken down				£184,211	£186,066	£166,314	<b>£536,591</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see fixed costs			
<b>Salford</b>	£96,648	£48,769	£0	<b>£145,417</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total				£59,696	£68,274	£101,644	<b>£229,614</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			
<b>Sandwell</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	Information cannot be broken down: maintenance costs handled as part of a larger budget							
<b>Sefton</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£90.00	£90,000	£90,000	<b>£270,000</b>	£30,000	£30,000	£30,000	<b>£90,000</b>
<b>Sheffield</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	Dealt with via a PFI Contract							
<b>Solihull</b>	£10,000	£1,000	£0	<b>£11,000</b>	£0	£19,331	£0	<b>£19,331</b>	£162,011	£140,049	£164,508	<b>£466,568</b>	£5,900	£5,900	£4,400	<b>£16,200</b>
<b>South Tyneside</b>	£29,859.70	£27,536.26	£18,215.58	<b>£75,611.54</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£28,958.44	£28,958.44	£26,344.60	<b>£84,261.48</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>St. Helens</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£8,440	£12,185	<b>£20,625</b>	£30,497.27	£36,277.77	£46,610.21	<b>£113,385.25</b>	£0	£4,500.00	£0	<b>£4,500.00</b>
<b>Stockport</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£318,600	£318,600	£233,600	<b>£870,800</b>	£26,400	26,400	£26,400	<b>£79,200</b>
<b>Sunderland</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£15,500	£28,500	<b>£44,000</b>	£50,408	£49,847	£49,068	<b>£149,323</b>	£831	£44,019	£21,250	<b>£66,100</b>
<b>Tameside</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	Does not use mobile cameras				£119,700	£119,700	£119,700	<b>£359,100</b>	Does not use mobile cameras			
<b>Trafford</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>116</sup></b>	£56,000	£56,000	£51,669	<b>£163,669</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Wakefield</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£203,758	£252,346	£220,962	<b>£677,066</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Walsall</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£147,157	£166,689	£212,953	<b>£526,799</b>	£1,604.60	£8,189.78	£5,953.92	<b>£15,748.30</b>
<b>Wigan</b>	£0	£47,740	£77,644	<b>£125,384</b>	£47,740	£5,000	£5,000	<b>£57,740</b>	£37,531	£37,525	£35,636	<b>£110,692</b>	£0	£1,450	£1,050	<b>£2,500</b>

<sup>116</sup> Authority does not utilise mobile CCTV.

<b>Wirral</b>	£15,600							<b>£15,600</b>	£148,762.60	£138,378.60	£147,651.60	<b>£434,792.80</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Wolverhampton</b>	£65,000	£49,400	£29,500	<b>£143,900</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£50,000	£51,000	£46,700	<b>£147,700</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>£2,485,008.84</b>							<b>£477,502</b>				<b>£12,431,433.70</b>	<b>£331,528</b>			
<b>English Non-Metropolitan Districts</b>																
<b>Adur</b>	Information not held in a readily available format															
<b>Allerdale</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£51,000	£13,425	£9,450	<b>£73,875</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Amber Valley</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£120,392.46	£120,392.46	£120,392.46	<b>£361,177.38</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Arun</b>	£3,079		<b>£3,079</b>		£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>117</sup></b>	£39,170		<b>£39,170<sup>118</sup></b>		£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Ashfield</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Nottinghamshire County Council															
<b>Ashford</b>	Refused - Cost and time															
<b>Aylesbury Vale</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£162,865	£173,281	£165,469	<b>£501,615</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Babergh</b>	Information not held	£0	£40,000	<b>£40,000</b>	Information not held	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	Information not held	£9,352	£7,543	<b>£16,895</b>	Information not held	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Barrow-in-Furness</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£64,360	£30,080	£19,480	<b>£113,920</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Basildon</b>	£21,868.12							<b>£21,868.12</b>	£48,394.91	£32,645.22	£34,300.12	<b>£115,340.25</b>	Information cannot be broken down			
<b>Basingstoke and Deane</b>	£0	£0	£1,195.00	<b>£1,195.00</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£78,613.79	£73,382	£78,269.75	<b>£230,265.54</b>	£2,030	£900	£0	<b>£2,930</b>
<b>Bassetlaw</b>	£85,000	£120,994	£47,919	<b>£253,913</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£288,650	£221,889	£194,168	<b>£704,707</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Blaby</b>	£375	£2,980	£5,000	<b>£8,355</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£600	£995	£750	<b>£2,345</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Bolsover</b>	£23,311	£13,864 <sup>119</sup>	£2,018	<b>£39,193</b>	£0	£5,316.00	£481.40	<b>£5,797.40<sup>120</sup></b>	£5,386	£6,830.10	£6,339.25	<b>£18,555.35</b>	£0	£0	£110	<b>£110</b>
<b>Boston</b>	£0	£241,155	£3,951	<b>£245,106</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£78,291	£33,624	£12,438	<b>£124,353</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Braintree</b>	£17,000	£17,000	£17,000	<b>£51,000</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total				£23,000	£23,000	£23,000	<b>£69,000</b>	Information not broken down - please see fixed costs			

<sup>117</sup> Council does not use mobile cameras.

<sup>118</sup> A further £24,515 has been contributed by other authorities.

<sup>119</sup> An additional £20,000 has been contributed by the local police force and the Community Safety Partnership.

<sup>120</sup> An additional £1,810 contribution was made by Community Safety Partnership.

<b>Breckland</b>	£17,506	£85,344	£137,412	<b>£240,262</b>	System administered by Kings Lynn & West Norfolk. Contributions for monitoring wages and maintenance cannot be broken down - see fixed installation costs											
<b>Brentwood</b>	£0	£12,543	£37,935	<b>£50,478</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£123,925	£129,046	£103,914	<b>£356,885</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Broadland</b>	Council has no public facing CCTV															
<b>Bromsgrove</b>	£9,612.40	£12,375	£12,831	<b>£34,818.40</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£25,445	£25,445	£25,445	<b>£76,335</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Broxbourne</b>	£19,000	£11,000	£25,000	<b>£55,000</b>	£0	£16,000	£0	<b>£16,000</b>	£67,000	£67,000	£67,000	<b>£201,000</b>	£10,000	£10,000	£10,000	<b>£30,000</b>
<b>Broxtowe</b>	£395	£0	£3,220	<b>£3,615</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total				£125,762	£132,108	£114,814	<b>£372,684</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Burnley</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£128,000	£134,000	£132,000	<b>£394,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Cambridge</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£627,000	£527,000	£623,000	<b>£1,777,000</b>	£20,000	£20,000	£20,000	<b>£60,000</b>
<b>Cannock Chase</b>	270,173.95	202,112.13	197,936.64	<b>£670,222.72</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£161,297.06	£162,030.50	£140,207.95	<b>£463,535.51</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Canterbury</b>	£544,555.11	£535,865.47	£555,216.38	<b>£1,635,636.96</b>	Purchase, Operation, Maintenance and Installation costs cannot be broken down - see fixed installation costs											
<b>Carlisle</b>	No response received															
<b>Castle Point</b>	£630	£3,200	£5,378	<b>£9,208</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£1,021	£534	£5,361	<b>£6,916</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Charnwood</b>	£17,476.00	£0	£29,451.87	<b>£46,927.87</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£372,691.86 <sup>121</sup>	£210,063.61	£240,690.14 <sup>122</sup>	<b>£823,445.61</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Chelmsford</b>	£29,053	£51,352	£7,717	<b>£88,122</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£364,063	£336,309	£294,254	<b>£994,626</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Cheltenham</b>	£109,080.73				£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>123</sup></b>	£126,444.04	£109,264.55	£104,699.91	<b>£340,408.50</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Cherwell</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	£88,000	£88,000	£88,000	<b>£264,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Chesterfield</b>	Information cannot be broken down - totals include non-public facing CCTV															
<b>Chichester</b>	£0	£0	£16,540	<b>£16,540</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total				£234,691	£224,086	£225,560	<b>£684,337</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			
<b>Chiltern</b>	No response received															
<b>Chorley</b>	£0	£29,200	£0	<b>£29,200</b>	£704.65	£0	£1,037	<b>£1,741.65</b>	£23,042.95	£25,120.25	£22,965.41	<b>£71,128.61</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Christchurch</b>	£187,000	£0	£0	<b>£187,000</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total				£168,000	£207,000	£185,000	<b>£560,000</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			

<sup>121</sup> Repairs to server.

<sup>122</sup> Replacing the server.

<sup>123</sup> Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

<b>Colchester</b>	£2,735	£41,510	£7,427.0 0	<b>£51,672.0 0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>124</sup></b>	£410,102	£352,730	£411,450	<b>£1,174,28 2</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Copeland</b>	"Copeland Borough Council have had no involvement with CCTV systems for the last two years" - Cumbria Constabulary's responsibility															
<b>Corby</b>	£95,725	£2,621	£35,761	<b>£134,107</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£189,321	£208,694	£179,159	<b>£577,174</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Cotswold</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Cirencester Town Council															
<b>Craven</b>	No CCTV - decommissioned as of October 2010															
<b>Crawley</b>	£0	£0	£4,489.9 9	<b>£4,489.99</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£65,864	£64,144	£65,263	<b>£195,271</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Dacorum</b>	£482,91 4	£231,39 5	£20,552	<b>£734,861</b>	£0	£2,150	£2,200	<b>£4,350</b>	£524,681	£561,998	£661,310	<b>£1,747,98 9</b>	£1,800	£500	£500	<b>£2,800</b>
<b>Dartford</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£234,723	£244,352	£226,490	<b>£705,565</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Daventry</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Daventry Town Council															
<b>Derbyshire Dales</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Dover</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£155,771	£166,226	£133,819	<b>£455,816</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Cambridgeshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>125</sup></b>	£61,546	£62,266	£56,000 126	<b>£179,812</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Devon</b>	No response received															
<b>East Dorset</b>	See Christchurch's response															
<b>East Hampshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Hertfordshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£17,09 9	£0	£800	<b>£17,899</b>	£89,382	£101,763	£101,764	<b>£292,909</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			
<b>East Lindsey</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£26,500	£26,500	£26,500	<b>£79,500</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Northamptonshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£144,661	£0	£0	<b>£144,661</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Staffordshire</b>	£3,481. 48	£3,528. 28	£4,421.6 2	<b>£11,431.3 8</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£192,695. 65	£167,614 .75	£178,159 .38	<b>£538,469. 78</b>	£30	£825	£0	<b>£855</b>
<b>Eastbourne</b>	£11,244.87															

<sup>124</sup> Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

<sup>125</sup> Authority doesn't use of mobile CCTV.

<sup>126</sup> Payment made for a wireless conversion project, one-off exit strategy payment.

<b>Eastleigh</b>	£6,160	£5,680	£0	<b>£11,840</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£145,519	£154,708	£152,967	<b>£453,194</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Eden</b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras															
<b>Elmbridge</b>	£257,000 spent in 2012								Refused - Section 43, commercial interests							
<b>Epping Forest</b>	£9,616	£38,550	£32,100	<b>£80,266</b>	£7,300	£2,300	£16,198	<b>£25,798</b>	£24,460	£24,460	£24,460	<b>£73,380</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			
<b>Epsom and Ewell</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£73,394.4 2	£71,986, 47	£68,992. 04	<b>£214,372. 93</b>	Information not broken down - see note			
<b>Erewash</b>	£0	£0	Systems Upgrade ongoing	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	Systems Upgrade ongoing	<b>£0</b>	£38,493.3 5	£24,858. 50	£32,796. 87	<b>£96,148.7 2</b>	Systems upgrade ongoing - includes four mobile cameras			
<b>Exeter</b>	£31,264	£36,291	£38,209	<b>£105,764</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£171,375	£176,403	£162,579	<b>£510,357</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Fareham</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£153,800	£157,600	£162,900	<b>£474,300</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Fenland</b>	£0	£8,360	£5,280	<b>£13,640</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£75,860	£80,554	£78,310	<b>£234,724</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Forest Heath</b>	£101,33 1.27	£102,31 2.45	£107,695 .43	<b>£311,339. 15</b>	Information cannot be broken down - See Installation costs											
<b>Forest of Dean</b>	The council has no CCTV cameras															
<b>Fylde</b>	£0	£30,667	£0	<b>£30,667</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£26,441	£15,773	£31,708	<b>£73,922</b>	£24,58 6	£12,28 1	£15,68 5	<b>£52,552</b>
<b>Gedling</b>	£9,935	£0	£4,950	<b>£14,885</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£28,328	£33,176	£15,754	<b>£77,258</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Gloucester</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£6,500	£3,265	£0	<b>£9,765</b>	£56,706.8 4	£73,246. 66	£71,883. 00	<b>£201,836. 50</b>	£9,041. 23	£3,385. 98	£3,325. 80	<b>£15,753 .01</b>
<b>Gosport</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£2,880	£1,775	£140	<b>£4,795</b>	£33,913	£32,980	£39,645	<b>£106,538</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Gravesham</b>	£22,552	£25,643	£7,411	<b>£55,606</b>	£20,69 0	£3,668	£3,438	<b>£27,796</b>	£204,000	£204,664	£204,664	<b>£613,328</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Great Yarmouth</b>	Council does not control or maintain any public facing CCTV cameras. All public CCTV cameras are controlled by Community Safety (Great Yarmouth) Ltd (CCTV Co).															
<b>Guildford</b>	£0	£0	£29,123	<b>£29,123</b>	£0	£0	£1,600	<b>£1,600</b>	Response notes - Included			£115,1 52	£88,82 2	£82,53 0	<b>£286,50 4</b>	
<b>Hambleton</b>	£0	£9,562. 66	£0	<b>£9,562.66</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£52,679.3 8	£45,886. 99	£57,397. 08	<b>£155,963. 45</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Harborough</b>	£0	£0	£12,900	<b>£12,900</b>	Information not broken down = see fixed total				£44,546	£39,283	£29,408	<b>£113,237</b>	Information not broken down = see fixed total			
<b>Harlow</b>	£10,013	£10,890	£12,240	<b>£33,143</b>	£137	£0	£0	<b>£137</b>	£7,919	£7,616	£6,410	<b>£21,945</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Harrogate</b>	£0	£4,941	£0	<b>£4,941</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£46,640	£28,380	£28,380	<b>£103,400</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Hart</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Rushmoor Borough Council															

<b>Hastings</b>	£0	£0	£3,200	<b>£3,200</b>	£0	£22,380.00	£33,959	<b>£56,339</b>	£62,548	£61,491	£61,126	<b>£185,165</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Havant</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£74,000	£74,000	£74,000	<b>£222,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Hertsmere</b>	Member of Hertfordshire CCTV Partnership - cameras operated by Stevenage Borough Council															
<b>High Peak</b>	£9,884.57	£5,867.60	£6,992	<b>£22,744.17</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£110,352.57	£108,754.12	£115,240.19	<b>£334,346.88</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Hinckley and Bosworth</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£10,780	£0	<b>£10,780</b>	£41,273	£26,784	£33,965	<b>£102,022</b>	£0	£0	£120	<b>£120</b>
<b>Horsham</b>	£8,359	£49,720	£0	<b>£58,079</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£13,357	£17,004	£13,791	<b>£44,152</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Huntingdonshire</b>	£37,500	£0	£0	<b>£37,500</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£124,622	£124,761	£124,622	<b>£374,005</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Hyndburn</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£21,974	£22,302	£24,440	<b>£68,716</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Ipswich</b>	£66,084	£52,454	£17,356	<b>£135,894</b>	£3,000	£1,279	£0	<b>£4,279</b>	£503,842	£472,783	£424,106	<b>£1,400,731</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Isles of Scilly</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£115	£322	<b>£437</b>
<b>Kettering</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>127</sup></b>	£82,245	£97,145	£95,655	<b>£275,045</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>King's Lynn and West Norfolk</b>	£66,879	£53,618	£124,934	<b>£245,341</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed installation costs											
<b>Lancaster</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£209,219	£172,606	£189,253	<b>£571,078</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Lewes</b>	No public facing CCTV cameras															
<b>Lichfield</b>	£16,242	£40,181	£18,435	<b>£74,858</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£100,342	£99,052	£102,801	<b>£302,195</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Lincoln</b>	£0	£0	£14,000	<b>£14,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£304,643	£347,693	£327,101	<b>£979,437</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Maidstone</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£242,500	£242,500	£242,500	<b>£727,500<sup>128</sup></b>	Information not broken down - see fixed maintenance costs			
<b>Maldon</b>	£0	£8,611	£0	<b>£8,611</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£8,033	£6,844	£7,403	<b>£22,280</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed costs			
<b>Malvern Hills</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£2,154	£0	<b>£2,154</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£1,184	£2,800	£8,996	<b>£12,980</b>
<b>Mansfield</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£128,892	£128,892	£83,905	<b>£341,689</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Melton</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>129</sup></b>	£7,120	£7,140	£8,035	<b>£22,295</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>

<sup>127</sup> No installations since 1996.

<sup>128</sup> Expenditure broken down between a Medway Council CCTV scheme - £225,000 and a Kent Police CCTV scheme - £17,500

<sup>129</sup> Authority does not use mobile cameras.

<b>Mendip</b>	£7,827	£9,033	£6,610	<b>£23,470</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£22,467	£28,019	£17,349	<b>£67,835</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Mid Devon</b>	£6,112.20	£2,325.50	£7,645.60	<b>£16,083.30</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£6,112.20	£0.00	£1,239.50	<b>£7,351.70</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Mid Suffolk</b>	See Babergh District Council's Response																
<b>Mid Sussex</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£14,225	£14,225	£14,225	<b>£42,675</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Mole Valley</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£7,602	£0	<b>£7,602</b>	£37,471	£37,400	£41,672	<b>£116,543</b>	£7,956	£4,510	£2,713	<b>£15,179</b>	
<b>New Forest</b>	£8,849	£0	£0	<b>£8,849</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£133,000	£133,000	£125,000	<b>£391,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Newark and Sherwood</b>	£0	£4,904	£0	<b>£4,904</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£298,570	£304,687	£284,186	<b>£887,443</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Newcastle-under-Lyme</b>	£0	£0	£4,500	<b>£4,500</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£52,400	£56,900	£50,500	<b>£159,800</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>North Devon</b>	£27,509.44	£32,191.68	£30,290.96	<b>£89,992.08</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£31,770.47	£32,372.70	£29,854.58	<b>£93,997.75</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>North Dorset</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Dorset County Council																
<b>North East Derbyshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£481.47	<b>£481.47</b>	£43,858	£29,042.91	£768.32 <sup>130</sup>	<b>£73,669.23</b>	Information not broken down				
<b>North Hertfordshire</b>	£0	£1,212.69	£15,399.09	<b>£16,611.78</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£178,681.39	£111,148.51	£127,732.25	<b>£417,562.15</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>North Kesteven</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£28,371.63	£30,810.17	£30,177.14	<b>£89,358.94</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>North Norfolk</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£89,669.53	£106,224.71	£32,494.06	<b>£228,388.30</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>North Warwickshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£24,361	£17,370	£13,805	<b>£55,536</b>	115,303	£117,673	£93,445	<b>£326,421</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>North West Leicestershire</b>	£204	0	£6,141	<b>£6,345</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£123,190	£103,761	£101,112	<b>£328,063</b>	£4,691	£7,136	£4,709 <sup>131</sup>	<b>£16,536</b>	
<b>Northampton</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	Information not provided								
<b>Norwich</b>	£0	£0	£57,979	<b>£57,979</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total				<b>£800</b>	270,858	213,659	235,467	<b>£719,984</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total			
<b>Nuneaton and Bedworth</b>	£1,000	£400	£0	<b>£1,400</b>	£450	£250	£100	<b>£800</b>	£470,321.23	£272,523.91	£301,051.71	<b>£1,043,896.85</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	

<sup>130</sup> Management fee to North Derbyshire Chamber of Commerce.

<sup>131</sup> CCTV van.

<b>Oadby and Wigston</b>	£0	£0	£240	<b>£240</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Oxford</b>	£0	£0	£19,104	<b>£19,104</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>132</sup></b>	£59,000	£59,000	£12,000	<b>£130,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Pendle</b>	£29,238	£1,875	£7,916	<b>£39,029</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£149,261. 25	£126,728 .49	£108,163	<b>£384,152. 74</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Preston</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£150,000	£150,000	£150,000	<b>£450,000</b>	£500	£500	£500	<b>£1,500</b>
<b>Purbeck</b>	£373	£220	£687	<b>£1,280</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£1,218	£661	£549	<b>£2,428</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Redditch</b>	£14,904	£18,694	£19,247	<b>£52,845</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£22,731	£22,731	£22,731	<b>£68,193</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Reigate and Banstead</b>	£39,000											£282,000				
<b>Ribble Valley</b>	£4,355	£0	£2,140	<b>£6,495</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£5,890	£6,410	£6,500	<b>£18,800</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Richmondshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£9,538	£1,266	£1,266	<b>£12,070</b>	£1,700	£1,220	£850	<b>£3,770</b>
<b>Rochford</b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras															
<b>Rossendale</b>	£0	£0	£4,478	<b>£4,478</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£7,760	£5,780	£14,040	<b>£27,580</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Rother</b>	£0	£4,178	£0	<b>£4,178</b>	£0	£10,368	£11,210	<b>£21,578</b>	£16,472	£13,549	£16,257	<b>£46,278</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Rugby</b>	£237,820	£244,510	£251,360	<b>£733,690</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Rugby First, in partnership with local businesses and Warwickshire Police - see installation for Rugby's contribution											
<b>Runnymede</b>	£20,310.00	£17,505.96	£40,135.26	<b>£77,951.22</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>133</sup></b>	£918,009.25	£904,912.66	£891,488.96	<b>£2,714,410.87</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Rushcliffe</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Rushmoor</b>	£120,778 <sup>134</sup>	£154,559 <sup>135</sup>	£0	<b>£275,337</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£342,342	£441,309 <sup>136</sup>	£433,259 <sup>137</sup>	<b>£1,216,910</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Ryedale</b>	CCTV is the Responsibility of North Yorkshire Police															
<b>Scarborough</b>	£0	£7,000	£30,000	<b>£37,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	CCTV control centre includes Scarborough lifeline call centre - costs cannot be separated							
<b>Sedgemoor</b>	Information not provided								£172,800 <sup>138</sup>	£179,620 <sup>139</sup>	£129,075 <sup>140</sup>	<b>£481,495</b>	Information not broken down			

<sup>132</sup> Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

<sup>133</sup> Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

<sup>134</sup> Costs shared with Hart District Council.

<sup>135</sup> Costs shared with Hart District Council.

<sup>136</sup> Costs shared with Hart District Council.

<sup>137</sup> Costs shared with Hart District Council.

<sup>138</sup> Includes £6,913 in "support costs".



<b>Selby</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£54,559.37	£18,695.93	£18,177.08	<b>£91,432.38</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Sevenoaks</b>	£0	£0	£5,532	<b>£5,532</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£106,075	£105,643	£106,359	<b>£318,077</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Shepway</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Folkestone Town Council															
<b>South Bucks</b>	No CCTV - Cameras decommissioned															
<b>South Cambridgeshire</b>	£500								£120	£120	£120	<b>£360</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>South Derbyshire</b>	£3,098	£3,052	£102	<b>£6,252</b>	£1,144	£0	£594	<b>£1,738</b>	£1,447	£1,653	£1,564	<b>£4,664</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>South Hams</b>	No response received															
<b>South Holland</b>	£0	£0	£2,285.52	<b>£2,285.52</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£38,536.76	£34,903.61	£21,850.52	<b>£95,290.89</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>South Kesteven</b>	£13,532	£0	£2,082	<b>£15,614</b>	£0	£12,179	£18,888	<b>£31,067</b>	£226,514	£218,808	£212,304	<b>£657,626</b>	£0	£638	£650	<b>£1,288</b>
<b>South Lakeland</b>	Information not held															
<b>South Norfolk</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>South Northamptonshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility Northamptonshire County Council															
<b>South Oxfordshire</b>	£0	£0	£17,220	<b>£17,220</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed costs				£58,071.44	£65,079.53	£58,130.52	<b>£181,281.49</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed costs			
<b>South Ribble</b>	£16,216.20								£30,310.05							
<b>South Somerset</b>	£2,500	£0	£0	<b>£2,500</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£43,000	£43,500	£44,000	<b>£130,500<sup>141</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>South Staffordshire</b>	Information not provided								£176,800	£178,500	£168,500	<b>£523,800</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Spelthorne</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£134,700	£147,600	£154,900	<b>£437,200</b>	£6,800	£16,900	£20,000	<b>£43,700</b>
<b>St Albans</b>	£82,000	£53,000	£30,000	<b>£165,000</b>	£5,000	£0	£0	<b>£5,000</b>	£130,000	£122,000	£122,000	<b>£374,000</b>	Information not broken down - please see fixed costs			
<b>St Edmundsbury</b>	£312,172.10	£281,291.82	£306,704.55	<b>£900,168.47</b>	Information cannot be broken down - See Installation costs											

<sup>139</sup> Includes £50,789 in "support costs".

<sup>140</sup> Includes £57,191 in "support costs".

<sup>141</sup> Yeovil Town Council contribute £31,000 per annum to the running of the system.

ry																
<b>Stafford</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£9,912	£8,852	£7,000	<b>£25,764</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Staffordshire Moorlands</b>	£7,804.88	6,555.56	£13,969.49	<b>£28,329.93</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£164,100.86	£153,530.40	£156,795	<b>£474,426.26</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Stevenage</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£103,000	£94,000	£87,000	<b>£284,000</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed costs			
<b>Stratford-on-Avon</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£101,030	£110,653	£121,172	<b>£332,855</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Stroud</b>	£0	£0	£4,937	<b>£4,937</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£8,948.50	£8,255.50	£11,357.32	<b>£28,561.32</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Suffolk Coastal</b>	No cameras are operated by, or on behalf of, Suffolk Coastal															
<b>Surrey Heath</b>	£24,384	£6,096	£11,249	<b>£41,729</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£14,073	£10,085	£8,657	<b>£32,815</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Swale</b>	£0	£0	£58,386.98	<b>£58,386.98</b>	£0	£35,300	£0	<b>£35,300</b>	£204,350	£221,967	£221,967	<b>£648,284</b>	£13,921.60	£13,040	£11,557.47	<b>£38,519.07</b>
<b>Tamworth</b>	Information cannot be broken down between public space and non-public space CCTV <sup>142</sup>															
<b>Tandridge</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	Under £1000 per annum							
<b>Taunton Deane</b>	Information cannot be broken down - CCTV managed by Sedgemoor District Council								£225,037.13	£225,037.13	£230,700.52	<b>£680,774.78</b>	Information not broken down - CCTV managed by Sedgemoor district council			
<b>Teignbridge</b>	No information held - CCTV responsibility transferred to a local community group in 2012															
<b>Tendring</b>	£21,351.53	£16,670.26	£30,069.01	<b>£68,090.80</b>	£2,267.50	£440	£925	<b>£3,632.50</b>	Information cannot be broken down - see installation costs							
<b>Test Valley</b>	£75,325	£0	£0	<b>£75,325</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£1,752	<b>£1,752</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Tewkesbury</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£1,200	£1,200	£1,200	<b>£3,600</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Thanet</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£250	£0	<b>£250</b>	£169,000	£171,140	£169,220	<b>£509,360</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Three Rivers</b>	12,610	12,666	8,104	<b>33,380</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>143</sup></b>	£4,310.73 <sup>144</sup>	£7,285.29 <sup>145</sup>	£11,271.84 <sup>146</sup>	<b>£22,867.86</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Tonbridge and Malling</b>	£33,000	£0	£10,500	<b>£43,500</b>	£0	£0	£6,400	<b>£6,400</b>	£210,000	£163,000	£141,000	<b>£514,000</b>	£11,000	£4,800	£5,300	<b>£21,100</b>
<b>Torrige</b>	Information not provided								164,419.3	157,600.	134,221.	<b>£456,240.</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>

<sup>142</sup> The Authority's annual budget books and report indicate that they spent £15,000 annually on "CCTV camera renewals".

<sup>143</sup> Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

<sup>144</sup> Authority: £1,744.53, Community Safety Partnership: £2566.20.

<sup>145</sup> Authority: £2,152.29, Community Safety Partnership: £5,133.

<sup>146</sup> Authority: £2,218.67, Community Safety Partnership: £9,053.17

									8	00	13	51					
<b>Tunbridge Wells</b>	£33,000	£5,866	£0	<b>£38,866</b>	£0	£7,463	£0	<b>£7,463</b>	£94,400	£94,400	£76,000	<b>£264,800</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Uttlesford</b>	£14,828	£18,000	£0	<b>£32,828</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£8,225	£1,620	£0	<b>£9,845</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Vale of White Horse</b>	£0	£2,260	£18,280	<b>£20,540</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total				£43,447.65	£44,928.89	£43,692.36	<b>£132,068.90</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed total				
<b>Warwick</b>		£29,000		<b>£29,000</b>	Council does not use Mobile CCTV				£472,000	£472,000	£472,000	<b>£1,416,000</b>	Council does not use Mobile CCTV				
<b>Watford</b>	£3,000	£2,000	£105,000	<b>£110,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>147</sup></b>	£306,950	£289,510	£249,570	<b>£846,030</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Waveney</b>	£29,000	£3,500	£46,000	<b>£78,500</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£25,000	£26,100	£22,800	<b>£73,900</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Waverley</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£6,659.90	<b>£6,659.90</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	See note <sup>148</sup>	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Wealden</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Sussex Police - some town and parish councils may contribute to the cost																
<b>Wellingborough</b>	£0	£1,975	£16,750	<b>£18,725</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£53,123	£51,880	£48,293	<b>£153,296</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Welwyn Hatfield</b>	£100	£64,397	£35,824	<b>£100,321</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£126,869	£118,736	£106,212	<b>£351,817</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>West Devon</b>	No response received																
<b>West Dorset</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£30,136	£30,136	£30,136	<b>£90,408</b>	£158	£1,450	£1,037	<b>£2,645</b>	
<b>West Lancashire</b>	£411,746.90	£385,974.89	£24,599.62	<b>£822,321.41</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>149</sup></b>	£239,592.34	£252,894.04	£230,156.39	<b>£722,642.77</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>West Lindsey</b>	£11,052	£4,208	£2,139.79	<b>£17,399.79</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£71,704.49	£65,664.85	£61,622.28	<b>£198,991.62</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>West Oxfordshire</b>	No response received																
<b>West Somerset</b>	£39,663	£36,067	£29,715	<b>£105,445</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed installation costs for total CCTV budget												
<b>Weymouth and Portland</b>	Information not provided								£277,977	£228,326	£240,003	<b>£746,306</b>	£0	£1,124	£390	<b>£1,514</b>	
<b>Winchester</b>		£75,000		<b>£75,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>150</sup></b>	£159,000	£159,000	£159,000	<b>£477,000</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	
<b>Woking</b>	31,035	£22,433	£86,278	<b>£139,746</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£294,221	£223,558	£225,023	<b>£742,802</b>	£2,990	£2,738	£2,500	<b>£8,228</b>	

<sup>147</sup> Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

<sup>148</sup> Amount includes 60-month maintenance contract.

<sup>149</sup> Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

<sup>150</sup> Authority does not use mobile CCTV.

<b>Worcester</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£70,000	£70,000	£70,000	<b>£210,000</b>	£500	£500	£500	<b>£1,500</b>
<b>Worthing</b>	See Adur's response															
<b>Wychavon</b>	£148,400	£156,500	£146,900	<b>£451,800<sup>151</sup></b>	Information not broken down											
<b>Wycombe</b>	£0	£0	£200	<b>£200</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£263,496.87	£245,004.78	£274,942.87	<b>£783,444.52</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Wyre</b>	£50,500	£0	£14,210.32	<b>£64,710.32</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£20,718.82	£18,246.11	£19,059.88	<b>£58,024.81</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Wyre Forest</b>	£0	£0	£9,134.55	<b>£9,134.55</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>	£18,699.22	£30,919.33	£28,907.36	<b>£78,525.91<sup>152</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Total</b>				<b>£11,188,683</b>				<b>£394,607</b>				<b>£50,400,826.21</b>				<b>£620,520</b>

<sup>151</sup> Figures based on revised budget net cost of service.

<sup>152</sup> Response combined operation, maintenance and wages costs.

**Table 9: Local Authority Expenditure on Wages and Salary**

Council	Annual Spend - Wage and Salary			Total
	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	
<b>City of London and London Boroughs</b>				
<b>City of London</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of City of London Police			
<b>Barking and Dagenham</b>	No response received			
<b>Barnet</b>	Operation outsourced- no direct wage and salary costs			
<b>Bexley</b>	Information not available - part of an all-inclusive operations, equipment and staffing contract fee			
<b>Brent</b>	£322,000	£322,000	£322,000	<b>£966,000</b>
<b>Bromley</b>	£256,150	£256,150	£256,150	<b>£768,450</b>
<b>Camden</b>	£176,717.35	£476,231.39	£425,610.74	<b>£1,078,559.48</b>
<b>Croydon</b>	Included in operation and maintenance costs			
<b>Ealing</b>	Information not provided		£833,569.79	<b>£833,569.79</b>
<b>Enfield</b>	Information cannot be provided - staff undertake multiple roles			
<b>Greenwich</b>	£720,212	£689,764	£700,852	<b>£2,110,828</b>
<b>Hackney</b>	Information not broken down - see operation and maintenance costs (outsourced to contractor)			
<b>Hammersmith and Fulham</b>	£404,768	£401,224	£371,081	<b>£1,177,073</b>
<b>Haringey</b>	£219,492	£223,092	£221,629	<b>£664,213</b>
<b>Harrow</b>	£333,140	£279,751	£247,187	<b>£860,078</b>
<b>Havering</b>	£25,000	£25,000	£25,000	<b>£75,000</b>
<b>Hillingdon</b>	£137,219	£136,226	£143,243	<b>£416,688</b>
<b>Hounslow</b>	£503,672.88	£503,672.88	£503,672.88	<b>£1,511,018.64</b>
<b>Islington</b>	£295,000	£295,000	£295,000	<b>£885,000</b>
<b>Kensington and Chelsea</b>	£143,063	£142,964	£152,243	<b>£438,270</b>
<b>Kingston upon Thames</b>	Information cannot be provided - staff undertake multiple roles			
<b>Lambeth</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Lewisham</b>	£325,000	£325,000	£325,000	<b>£975,000</b>
<b>Merton</b>	Refused - cost and time			
<b>Newham</b>	£373,897	£279,624	£209,015	<b>£862,536</b>
<b>Redbridge</b>	£590,821.47	£544,045.14	£524,493.29	<b>£1,659,359.90</b>
<b>Richmond upon Thames</b>	£59,446	£59,446	£59,446	<b>£178,338</b>
<b>Southwark</b>	£289,925	£274,834	£295,735	<b>£860,494</b>
<b>Sutton<sup>153</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Tower Hamlets</b>	£388,958	£502,989	£625,078	<b>£1,517,025</b>
<b>Waltham Forest</b>	Information not held - operation outsourced			<b>£0</b>
<b>Wandsworth</b>	Information not provided		£164,428.81	<b>£164,428.81</b>

<sup>153</sup> There is a partnership agreement in place with MPS/MOPAC for monitoring,

<b>Westminster</b>	£541,300	£492,000	£376,000	<b>£1,409,300</b>
<b>Total</b>				<b>£19,411,230</b>
<b>English County Councils</b>				
<b>Buckinghamshire<sup>154</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Cambridgeshire<sup>155</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Cumbria</b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras			
<b>Derbyshire</b>	Refused - Cost and Time			
<b>Devon<sup>156</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Dorset</b>	Council does not employ CCTV operators			
<b>East Sussex</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils			
<b>Essex</b>	No response received			
<b>Gloucestershire<sup>157</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Hampshire</b>	Council does not employ specific CCTV operators			
<b>Hertfordshire</b>	Information cannot be broken down - part of a larger contract			
<b>Kent<sup>158</sup></b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>£0</b>
<b>Lancashire</b>	On-street CCTV managed by the District Councils			
<b>Leicestershire</b>	See Leicester City Council's response			
<b>Lincolnshire</b>	No response received			
<b>Norfolk</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Northamptonshire<sup>159</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>North Yorkshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils			
<b>Nottinghamshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils			
<b>Oxfordshire</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>Somerset</b>	Information cannot be broken down			
<b>Staffordshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils			
<b>Suffolk</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils			
<b>Surrey</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of the District and Borough Councils			
<b>Warwickshire</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>West Sussex<sup>160</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Worcestershire</b>	No response received			
<b>Total</b>				<b>£0</b>
<b>English Unitary Authorities</b>				
<b>Bath and North East Somerset</b>	Refused - Cost and Time			
<b>Bedford Borough<sup>161</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Blackburn with</b>	Information not broken down - included in operation and maintenance costs			

<sup>154</sup> All monitoring is carried out by a contractor.

<sup>155</sup> The authority has no dedicated CCTV operators.

<sup>156</sup> CCTV is monitored as part of a larger work remit.

<sup>157</sup> Cameras monitored by Gloucestershire Police.

<sup>158</sup> Response notes: "We do not have dedicated CCTV operators, there is a Highway Management Centre which monitors and manages traffic using a wide array of systems; one of which is CCTV."

<sup>159</sup> The authority does not employ any dedicated CCTV operators.

<sup>160</sup> The Council does not employ any dedicated CCTV operators.

<sup>161</sup> Monitoring delivered by an external agency.

<b>Darwen</b>				
<b>Blackpool</b>	£41,763	£41,763	£41,763	<b>£125,289</b>
<b>Bournemouth</b>	£286,256	£286,256	£286,256	<b>£858,768</b>
<b>Bracknell Forest<sup>162</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Brighton and Hove<sup>163</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Bristol, City of</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>Central Bedfordshire</b>	£147,000	£138,000	£129,000	<b>£414,000</b>
<b>Cheshire East</b>	Information not provided		£267,199.20	<b>£267,199.20</b>
<b>Cheshire West and Chester</b>	£377,000	£374,000	£426,000	<b>£1,177,000</b>
<b>Cornwall</b>	£10,058	£5,339	£0	<b>£15,397</b>
<b>Darlington</b>	£335,320	£351,142	£347,926	<b>£1,034,388</b>
<b>Derby</b>	£160,000	£170,000	£170,000	<b>£500,000</b>
<b>Durham</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>East Riding of Yorkshire</b>	£90,249	£92,828	£95,546	<b>£278,623</b>
<b>Halton</b>	N/A <sup>164</sup>	£96,000	£120,000	<b>£216,000</b>
<b>Hartlepool</b>	Monitoring carried out by Thirteen Group Housing Association			
<b>Herefordshire</b>	£96,684	£90,106	£80,697	<b>£267,487</b>
<b>Isle of Wight</b>	Refused - commercially sensitive information			
<b>Kingston upon Hull, City of</b>	Unable to break figure down - staff have a range of responsibilities			
<b>Leicester</b>	£279,000	£308,400	£303,000	<b>£890,400</b>
<b>Luton</b>	£170,000	£170,000	£170,000	<b>£510,000</b>
<b>Medway</b>				
<b>Middlesbrough</b>	£225,000	£225,000	£225,000	<b>£675,000</b>
<b>Milton Keynes<sup>165</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>North East Lincolnshire</b>	£205,625.06	£209,890.56	£232,000.96	<b>£647,516.58</b>
<b>North Lincolnshire</b>	£98,400	£98,400	£98,400	<b>£295,200</b>
<b>North Somerset</b>	Information not broken down by financial year			<b>£1,194,000</b>
<b>Northumberland<sup>166</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Nottingham<sup>167</sup></b>	Information not held			
<b>Peterborough</b>	£198,495	£236,081	£286,699	<b>£721,275</b>
<b>Plymouth</b>	£140,987.09	£158,457.48	£155,110.84	<b>£454,555.41</b>
<b>Poole</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>Portsmouth</b>	£262,651.66	£249,775.05	£231,799.27	<b>£744,225.98</b>
<b>Reading</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Thames Valley Police			
<b>Redcar and Cleveland</b>	£286,749	£263,972	£291,802	<b>£842,523</b>

<sup>162</sup> The authority does not employ any dedicated CCTV operators.

<sup>163</sup> Cameras monitored by Sussex Police

<sup>164</sup> Outsourced to Remploy until May 2013.

<sup>165</sup> CCTV operated by Thames Valley Police.

<sup>166</sup> The authority does not employ any dedicated CCTV operators.

<sup>167</sup> Monitoring contracted out to G4S.

<b>Rutland</b>	Information not available - provided to the council via a service contract			
<b>Shropshire</b>	No response received			
<b>Slough</b>	£323,750	£317,010	£305,170	<b>£945,930</b>
<b>South Gloucestershire</b>	Refused under Section 40 (personal data)			
<b>Southampton</b>	Outsourced - see fixed installation costs			
<b>Southend-on-Sea</b>	£248,000	£249,000	£286,000	<b>£783,000</b>
<b>Stockton-on-Tees</b>	£81,450	£81,450	£81,450	<b>£244,350</b>
<b>Stoke-on-Trent</b>	£325,207	£305,687	£319,238	<b>£950,132</b>
<b>Swindon</b>	£102,100	£103,100	Information not provided <sup>168</sup>	<b>£205,200</b>
<b>Telford and Wrekin<sup>169</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Thurrock</b>	£1,409,921			<b>£1,409,921</b>
<b>Torbay</b>	Information not provided		£187,761	<b>£187,761</b>
<b>Warrington</b>	£160,000	£155,000	£162,000	<b>£477,000</b>
<b>West Berkshire</b>	Cost of annual contract with Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead included within operation and maintenance costs			
<b>Wiltshire</b>	£235,237	£123,779	£108,561	<b>£467,577</b>
<b>Windsor and Maidenhead</b>	£397,000	£400,000	£413,000	<b>£1,210,000</b>
<b>Wokingham</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>York</b>	£168,729	£198,334	£225,700	<b>£592,763</b>
<b>Total</b>				<b>£18,408,481.17</b>
<b>Welsh Unitary Authorities</b>				
<b>Blaenau Gwent</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Bridgend</b>	£147,300	£147,300	£147,300	<b>£441,900<sup>170</sup></b>
<b>Caerphilly</b>	£403,080	£403,400	£401,276	<b>£1,207,756</b>
<b>Cardiff</b>	£620,284	£638,699	£515,599	<b>£1,774,582</b>
<b>Carmarthenshire</b>	£154,039.08	£139,472.50	£145,138.92	<b>£583,789.42</b>
<b>Ceredigion</b>	Council "no longer provide CCTV"			
<b>Conwy</b>	£317,319.92	£342,929.44	£332,944.95	<b>£993,194.31</b>
<b>Denbighshire</b>	£224,858	£210,598	£235,524	<b>£670,980</b>
<b>Flintshire</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Gwynedd</b>	£251,343.00	£255,164	£266,405	<b>£772,912</b>
<b>Isle of Anglesey</b>	No response received			
<b>Merthyr Tydfil</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Monmouthshire</b>	The council makes an annual contribution to a shared service (this includes several councils and a police force) - See fixed installation costs			
<b>Neath Port Talbot</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Newport</b>	£216,880.53	£219,559.91	£261,706.58	<b>£698,147.02</b>

<sup>168</sup> Staff members now do other security work in addition to CCTV monitoring.

<sup>169</sup> No "live" monitoring of systems.

<sup>170</sup> Calculated using lowest band in the given pay scales.



<b>Pembrokeshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>171</sup></b>
<b>Powys</b>	Refused - cost and time			
<b>Rhondda, Cynon, Taff</b>	£439,934	£428,382	£364,890	<b>£1,233,206</b>
<b>Swansea</b>	No response received			
<b>The Vale of Glamorgan</b>	£77,380	£77,910	£79,165	<b>£234,455</b>
<b>Torfaen</b>	£129,372	£129,372	£129,372	<b>£388,116</b>
<b>Wrexham</b>	£277,030	£268,719.07	£280,102.34	<b>£825,851.41</b>
<b>Northern Irish District Council Areas</b>				
<b>Antrim and Newtownabbey</b>	Carried out by a contractor – costs included in operation and maintenance section			
<b>Ards and North Down<sup>172</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Armagh City, Banbridge and Craigavon<sup>173</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Belfast</b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras			
<b>Causeway Coast and Glens<sup>174</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Derry City and Strabane</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Fermanagh and Omagh</b>	£19,110	£19,110	£19,110	<b>£57,330</b>
<b>Lisburn Castlereagh</b>	No response received			
<b>Mid and East Antrim</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Mid-Ulster<sup>175</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Newry, Mourne and Down<sup>176</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Scottish Council Areas</b>				
<b>Aberdeen City</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Police Scotland			
<b>Aberdeenshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Police Scotland and several town councils that have purchased their own cameras.			
<b>Angus</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>177</sup></b>
<b>Argyll and Bute</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>178</sup></b>
<b>Clackmannanshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Dumfries and Galloway<sup>179</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>

<sup>171</sup> Cameras controlled and monitored by Dyfed Powys Police.

<sup>172</sup> Monitoring service delivered by outside contractor on behalf of the two Councils.

<sup>173</sup> CCTV monitored by PSNI.

<sup>174</sup> Monitored by Police.

<sup>175</sup> Monitored by a private company.

<sup>176</sup> 21 cameras monitored by PSNI and the remaining Lisburn Commerce against Crime.

<sup>177</sup> Staff costs and associated pensions are funded by Police Scotland.

<sup>178</sup> System operated by Police Scotland.

<sup>179</sup> CCTV operators are employed by the Scottish Police Authority.

<b>Dundee City</b>	None - paid for and managed by Police Scotland			
<b>East Ayrshire</b>	Information cannot be broken down <sup>180</sup>			
<b>East Dunbartonshire</b>	Information cannot be broke down			
<b>East Lothian</b> <sup>181</sup>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Renfrewshire</b>	£341,355	£380,369	£429,939	<b>£1,151,663</b>
<b>City of Edinburgh</b>	£637,549	£642,224	£677,961	<b>£1,957,734</b>
<b>Eilean Siar</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Falkirk</b>	Information not provided		£99,000	<b>£99,000</b>
<b>Fife</b>	£241,198	£241,198	£241,198	<b>£723,594</b> <sup>182</sup>
<b>Glasgow City</b>	Asked for clarification and Big Brother Watch failed to provide it			
<b>Highland</b>	£137,000	£137,000	£137,000	<b>£411,000</b>
<b>Inverclyde</b>	£146,234	£148,140	£127,070	<b>£421,444</b> <sup>183</sup>
<b>Midlothian</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Moray</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b> <sup>184</sup>
<b>North Ayrshire</b>	£172,634.47	£178,184.47	£174,184.96	<b>£525,003.90</b>
<b>North Lanarkshire</b>	Information not held - CCTV managed and developed by Town Centre Activities			
<b>Orkney Islands</b>	Information not broken down - See overall total			
<b>Perth and Kinross</b> <sup>185</sup>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Renfrewshire</b>	£0	£0	£97,060	<b>£97,060</b>
<b>Scottish Borders</b> <sup>186</sup>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Shetland Islands</b> <sup>187</sup>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>South Ayrshire</b> <sup>188</sup>	Information cannot be broken down			
<b>South Lanarkshire</b>	£388,000	£388,000	£388,000	<b>£1,164,000</b>
<b>Stirling</b>	£46,743	£33,048	£41,766	<b>£121,557</b>
<b>West Dunbartonshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>West Lothian</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Police Scotland			
<b>Total</b>				<b>£16,554,275</b>
<b>English Metropolitan Councils</b>				
<b>Barnsley</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b> <sup>189</sup>
<b>Birmingham</b>	£1,337,433	£1,138,932	£1,102,640	<b>£3,579,005</b>

<sup>180</sup> Staff undertake a number of functions, not solely CCTV monitoring.

<sup>181</sup> Staff carry out a wide range of other responsibilities as well as CCTV monitoring.

<sup>182</sup> Excludes pension liabilities - information not held.

<sup>183</sup> Receive the following amount from Police Scotland: 2012/13 - £30,000, 2013/14 - £30,000, 2014/15 - £30,000.

<sup>184</sup> Monitored by Police Scotland.

<sup>185</sup> No dedicated CCTV operators and cameras mainly monitored by Police Scotland.

<sup>186</sup> Cameras operated by Police Scotland.

<sup>187</sup> Cameras operated by Police Scotland.

<sup>188</sup> Response centre staff undertake a variety of roles - no specific CCTV operators.

<sup>189</sup> Monitored by South Yorkshire Police.

<b>Bolton</b>	Town Centre CCTV provided by National Car Parks Ltd			
<b>Bradford</b>	Information cannot be broken down - paid for as part of a wider departmental budget			
<b>Bury</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>Calderdale</b>	£62,000	£62,000	£62,000	<b>£186,000</b>
<b>Coventry</b>	Refused - Cost and Time			
<b>Doncaster</b>	£262,088.58	£234,694.63	£360,608.31	<b>£857,391.52<sup>190</sup></b>
<b>Dudley</b>	£120,000	£120,000	£120,000	<b>£360,000<sup>191</sup></b>
<b>Gateshead</b>	Information cannot be broken down <sup>192</sup>			
<b>Kirklees</b>	Information not provided		£330,159	<b>£330,159</b>
<b>Knowsley</b>	£358,978	£371,329	£348,687	<b>£1,078,994<sup>193</sup></b>
<b>Leeds</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Liverpool</b>	£385,235	£383,691	£326,358	<b>£1,095,284</b>
<b>Manchester</b>	Covered by a wider contract – information cannot be broken down			
<b>Newcastle upon Tyne</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>North Tyneside</b>	£600,000	£587,000	£516,000	<b>£1,703,000</b>
<b>Oldham</b>	£385,782.00	£312,726.34	£285,534.81	<b>£984,043.15</b>
<b>Rochdale</b>	CCTV operatives do not work for the council - Not subject to FOI			
<b>Rotherham<sup>194</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Salford</b>	Information cannot be provided - provided under contract			
<b>Sandwell</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>Sefton<sup>195</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Sheffield</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>Solihull</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>196</sup></b>
<b>South Tyneside<sup>197</sup></b>	Information not broken down			
<b>St. Helens</b>	£163,459	£162,820	£158,751	<b>£485,030</b>
<b>Stockport</b>	£19,000	£19,000	£21,000	<b>£59,000</b>
<b>Sunderland</b>	Information cannot be broken down			
<b>Tameside</b>	£128,630	£128,630	£128,630	<b>£385,890</b>

<sup>190</sup> Public space CCTV also operated by South Yorkshire Police but they do not contribute to the running and maintenance of the system.

<sup>191</sup> Approximate figures.

<sup>192</sup> There are no dedicated CCTV operators, personnel undertake a range of tasks relating to the delivery of the Facilities Management Service.

<sup>193</sup> Excludes costs for Huyton, where staff members undertake a variety of security functions: 2012/13 - £106,401, 2013/14 - £57,633, 2014/15 - £55,562.

<sup>194</sup> CCTV monitored by South Yorkshire Police.

<sup>195</sup> The authority does not pay to have its CCTV monitored. The staff that do monitor are paid from generated income from other activities.

<sup>196</sup> Not applicable as service is contracted out.

<sup>197</sup> CCTV monitoring is combined with a number of other services such as concierges and alarms monitoring. The costs cannot therefore be broken down.

<b>Trafford</b>	£320,579	£288,152	£295,481	<b>£904,212</b>
<b>Wakefield</b>	£385,182	£385,403	£364,574	<b>£1,135,159</b>
<b>Walsall</b>	£342,332	£297,854	£281,375	<b>£921,561</b>
<b>Wigan</b>	Information not broken down - staff perform various duties			
<b>Wirral</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>198</sup></b>
<b>Wolverhampton<sup>199</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Total</b>				<b>£14,064,729</b>
<b>English Non-Metropolitan Districts</b>				
<b>Adur</b>	Information not held in a readily available format			
<b>Allerdale</b>	£21,858	£0 <sup>200</sup>	£0	<b>£21,858</b>
<b>Amber Valley<sup>201</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Arun<sup>202</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Ashfield</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Nottinghamshire County Council			
<b>Ashford</b>	Refused - Cost and time			
<b>Aylesbury Vale</b>	Information not provided		£108,258	<b>£108,258<sup>203</sup></b>
<b>Babergh</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Barrow-in-Furness</b>	£40,250	£0	£0	<b>£40,250</b>
<b>Basildon<sup>204</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Basingstoke and Deane</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Bassetlaw</b>	CCTV monitoring is provided by a different council			
<b>Blaby<sup>205</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Bolsover</b>	Information cannot be broken down - no specific post of a CCTV operator			
<b>Boston</b>	£146,046	£165,815	£163,109	<b>£474,970</b>
<b>Braintree</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>Breckland</b>	System administered by Kings Lynn & West Norfolk. Contributions for monitoring wages and maintenance cannot be broken down - see fixed installation costs			
<b>Brentwood</b>	£118,475	£150,276	£161,703	<b>£430,454</b>
<b>Broadland</b>	Council has no public facing CCTV			
<b>Bromsgrove</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Broxbourne</b>	Monitoring costs included in operation and maintenance figures			
<b>Broxtowe</b>	Operators not employed by council - included in operational costs			
<b>Burnley</b>	£114,000	£101,000	£104,000	<b>£132,000</b>

<sup>198</sup> Authority does not employ CCTV operators.

<sup>199</sup> No dedicated CCTV operators.

<sup>200</sup> Service ceased to operate 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013.

<sup>201</sup> Systems manned by volunteers.

<sup>202</sup> Systems manned by Sussex Police.

<sup>203</sup> Staff employed by Thames Valley Police - Local Authority pays 50% of the overall cost.

<sup>204</sup> No dedicated CCTV operators have been employed by the Council since March 2012.

<sup>205</sup> Systems are not dedicated manned monitored/operated systems.

<b>Cambridge</b>	£302,000	£264,000	£54,000	<b>£620,000</b>
<b>Cannock Chase</b>	Information not provided		£106,777 <sup>206</sup>	<b>£106,777</b>
<b>Canterbury</b>	£278,201.80	£278,201.80	£278,201.80	<b>£834,605.40</b>
<b>Carlisle</b>	No response received			
<b>Castle Point</b>	Council does not employ CCTV operators			
<b>Charnwood</b>	£179,563.78.	£179,563.78.	£179,563.78.	<b>£538,691.34</b>
<b>Chelmsford</b>	£310,452	£299,392	£283,107	<b>£892,951</b>
<b>Cheltenham</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>207</sup></b>
<b>Cherwell</b>	£75,000	£75,000	£75,000	<b>£225,000<sup>208</sup></b>
<b>Chesterfield</b>	£594,242	£594,242	£594,242	<b>£1,782,726</b>
<b>Chichester</b>	£33,868	£32,526	£48,242	<b>£114,636</b>
<b>Chiltern</b>	No response received			
<b>Chorley</b>	£114,951.14	£85,166.76	£56,503.51	<b>£256,621.41</b>
<b>Christchurch</b>	£64,000	£65,000	£59,000	<b>£188,000</b>
<b>Colchester</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Copeland</b>	"Copeland Borough Council have had no involvement with CCTV systems for the last two years" - Cumbria Constabulary's responsibility			
<b>Corby</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Cotswold</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Cirencester Town Council			
<b>Craven</b>	No CCTV - decommissioned as of October 2010			
<b>Crawley</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>209</sup></b>
<b>Dacorum</b>	£293,295	£326,045	£331,676	<b>£951,016</b>
<b>Dartford</b>	£123,910	£123,910	£123,910	<b>£371,730</b>
<b>Daventry</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Daventry Town Council			
<b>Derbyshire Dales</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Dover</b>	£99,723	£87,824	£99,984	<b>£287,531</b>
<b>East Cambridgeshire<sup>210</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Devon</b>	No response received			
<b>East Dorset</b>	See Christchurch's response			
<b>East Hampshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Hertfordshire</b>	Included in operation and maintenances costs			
<b>East Lindsey</b>	£203,037	£203,037	£203,037	<b>£609,111</b>
<b>East Northamptonshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>East Staffordshire</b>	Contracted out to a service provider			
<b>Eastbourne</b>	See Table 8			
<b>Eastleigh</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>211</sup></b>

<sup>206</sup> 2015/16 projection.

<sup>207</sup> CCTV is monitored by the police.

<sup>208</sup> Figures represent an annual contribution to Thames Valley Police who employ the staff monitoring the cameras.

<sup>209</sup> CCTV is monitored by the Police.

<sup>210</sup> Cameras monitored by volunteers managed by City of Ely Council.

<sup>211</sup> Council does not directly employ CCTV operators.

<b>Eden</b>	Does not own or operate CCTV cameras			
<b>Elmbridge</b>	CCTV monitoring is contracted to Runnymede Borough Council			
<b>Epping Forest</b>	£69,538	£69,538	£69,538	<b>£208,614</b>
<b>Epsom and Ewell</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>212</sup></b>
<b>Erewash</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>213</sup></b>
<b>Exeter</b>	£423,605	£423,605	£423,605	<b>£1,270,815</b>
<b>Fareham<sup>214</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Fenland</b>	£130,106	£132,802	£137,493	<b>£400,401</b>
<b>Forest Heath</b>	£24,000	£24,000	£24,000	<b>£72,000<sup>215</sup></b>
<b>Forest of Dean</b>	The council has no CCTV cameras			
<b>Fylde<sup>216</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Gedling</b>	£65,581	£59,189	£45,947	<b>£170,717</b>
<b>Gloucester</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>217</sup></b>
<b>Gosport</b>	Contracted out - included in operation and maintenance costs			
<b>Gravesham</b>	Included in operation and maintenances costs - 5 CCTV operators <sup>218</sup>			
<b>Great Yarmouth</b>	Council does not control or maintain any public facing CCTV cameras. All public CCTV cameras are controlled by Community Safety (Great Yarmouth) Ltd (CCTV Co).			
<b>Guildford<sup>219</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Hambleton</b>	£65,343.82	£70,024.53	£76,457.54	<b>£211,825.89</b>
<b>Harborough</b>	£9,000	£9,000	£9,000	<b>£27,000</b>
<b>Harlow</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Harrogate</b>	£168,664	£168,664	£168,664	<b>£505,992</b>
<b>Hart</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Rushmoor Borough Council			
<b>Hastings</b>	£180,912	£142,681	£148,741	<b>£472,334</b>
<b>Havant</b>	£80,000	£80,000	£80,000	<b>£240,000</b>
<b>Hertsmere</b>	Member of Hertfordshire CCTV Partnership - cameras operated by Stevenage Borough Council			
<b>High Peak</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>220</sup></b>
<b>Hinckley and Bosworth</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>221</sup></b>
<b>Horsham</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>222</sup></b>
<b>Huntingdonshire</b>	£179,366	£174,105	£179,366	<b>£532,837</b>
<b>Hyndburn</b>	£5,000	£5,000	£5,000	<b>£15,000</b>

<sup>212</sup> Cameras monitored by Surrey Police.

<sup>213</sup> Cameras monitored by volunteers recruited by Derbyshire Police.

<sup>214</sup> Monitoring is undertaken by a contractor on behalf of the Fareham and Gosport CCTV Partnership.

<sup>215</sup> Payment to St Edmundsbury Borough Council.

<sup>216</sup> Monitored on an ad-hoc basis - usually in record only mode.

<sup>217</sup> Cameras are monitored by Gloucestershire Police.

<sup>218</sup> Operators are employed by Medway Council.

<sup>219</sup> Town centre cameras are operated by Surrey Police. No specific costs inherent with car park CCTV monitoring.

<sup>220</sup> Employed by a third party supplier via a procurement exercise.

<sup>221</sup> CCTV monitoring is contracted out, in 2015/16 the cost was £60,721.68.

<sup>222</sup> CCTV monitoring undertaken by Sussex Police.

<b>Ipswich</b>	£467,140	£448,732	£427,919	<b>£1,343,791</b>
<b>Isles of Scilly</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Kettering</b>	£95,654	£96,153	£97,245	<b>£289,052</b>
<b>King's Lynn and West Norfolk</b>	£251,616.17	£266,363.09	£319,004.42	<b>£836,983.68</b>
<b>Lancaster</b>	Information not held <sup>223</sup>			
<b>Lewes</b>	No public facing CCTV cameras			
<b>Lichfield<sup>224</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Lincoln</b>	£195,405	£231,860	£212,740	<b>£640,005</b>
<b>Maidstone</b>	Information not broken down - see fixed maintenance costs			
<b>Maldon</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>225</sup></b>
<b>Malvern Hills</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Mansfield</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Melton</b>	£13,463.40	£13,463.40	£13,463.40	<b>£40,390.20</b>
<b>Mendip</b>	£67,155	£68,915	£72,267	<b>£208,337</b>
<b>Mid Devon</b>	£3,377.92	£3,639.14	£3,950.12	<b>£10,967.18</b>
<b>Mid Suffolk</b>	See Babergh District Council's Response			
<b>Mid Sussex</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>226</sup></b>
<b>Mole Valley</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>227</sup></b>
<b>New Forest</b>	£181,875.80	Operatives are multi-skilled who have other duties not just for CCTV		<b>£181,875.80</b>
<b>Newark and Sherwood</b>	Paid for via an annual stipend - included in operation and maintenance			
<b>Newcastle-under-Lyme</b>	Information not broken down - included in the operation and maintenance section			
<b>North Devon</b>	£189,617.33	£186,623.43	£139,643.90	<b>£515,884.66</b>
<b>North Dorset</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Dorset County Council			
<b>North East Derbyshire<sup>228</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>North Hertfordshire</b>	Stevenage Borough Council is the lead on CCTV monitoring and North Herts pays an annual contribution to these costs.			<b>£0</b>
<b>North Kesteven</b>	£38,343	£34,430	£34,775	<b>£107,548</b>
<b>North Norfolk</b>	£90,707.46	£100,525.79	£26,895.04	<b>£218,128.29</b>
<b>North Warwickshire</b>	£78,339	£78,847	£74,963	<b>£232,149</b>
<b>North West Leicestershire</b>	Contracted out - included in operation and maintenance costs			
<b>Northampton</b>	Contracted out - includes other security functions			
<b>Norwich</b>	152,635	187,762	186,983	<b>£527,380</b>
<b>Nuneaton and</b>	£184,198.70	£148,390.14	£149,870.67	<b>£482,459.51</b>

<sup>223</sup> CCTV operated by Lancaster City Council.

<sup>224</sup> Monitoring costs are subcontracted.

<sup>225</sup> Authority does not employ CCTV operators.

<sup>226</sup> CCTV monitored by Sussex Police.

<sup>227</sup> CCTV monitored by Sussex Police.

<sup>228</sup> No specific post of CCTV operator.

<b>Bedworth</b>				
<b>Oadby and Wigston</b>		Information not provided		
<b>Oxford</b>	£96,000	£96,000	£96,000	<b>£288,000</b>
<b>Pendle</b>		Included in operation and maintenance costs		
<b>Preston</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>229</sup></b>
<b>Purbeck</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Redditch</b>	£225,058	£210,486	£254,685	<b>£690,229<sup>230</sup></b>
<b>Reigate and Banstead<sup>231</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Ribble Valley</b>	£78,530	£78,530	£80,560	<b>£237,620</b>
<b>Richmondshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>232</sup></b>
<b>Rochford</b>		Does not own or operate CCTV cameras		
<b>Rossendale<sup>233</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Rother</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>234</sup></b>
<b>Rugby</b>		CCTV is the responsibility of Rugby First, in partnership with local businesses and Warwickshire Police - see note for Rugby's contribution		
<b>Runnymede<sup>235</sup></b>	£848,699.25	£838,406.70	£802,353.70	<b>£2,489,459.65</b>
<b>Rushcliffe</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Rushmoor</b>	£130,954	£187,473 <sup>236</sup>	£195,856 <sup>237</sup>	<b>£514,283</b>
<b>Ryedale</b>		CCTV is the Responsibility of North Yorkshire Police		
<b>Scarborough</b>		CCTV control centre includes Scarborough lifeline call centre - costs cannot be separated		
<b>Sedgemoor</b>	£403,697	£399,857	£399,562	<b>£1,203,116</b>
<b>Selby</b>	Information not provided	£14,000	£14,000	<b>£28,000<sup>238</sup></b>
<b>Sevenoaks</b>	£132,350	£132,350	£136,300	<b>£401,000</b>
<b>Shepway</b>		CCTV is the responsibility of Folkestone Town Council		
<b>South Bucks</b>		No CCTV - Cameras decommissioned		
<b>South Cambridgeshire</b>		Service is outsourced as such there is no wage or pension liability		
<b>South Derbyshire</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>South Hams</b>		No response received		

<sup>229</sup> Contracted out, the Council pays £105,000 annually; this is included in the operation and maintenance costs.

<sup>230</sup> CCTV is shared between RBC, Bromsgrove DC and Wyre Forest DC. Bromsgrove made the following contributions: 2013/14 - £170,742, 2013/14 - £155,141, 2014/15 - £236,911. Wyre Forest DC make the following payments: 2012/13: £46,656, 2013/14: £47,643, 2014/15 - £46,285.

<sup>231</sup> CCTV operators employed by Surrey Police.

<sup>232</sup> In 2012/13 cameras monitored by the MOD, costs paid by the Council. For 13/14 and 14/15, cameras monitored by MOD but most costs covered through an agreement with local businesses (18 month SLA).

<sup>233</sup> Salary costs absorbed in Property Services and Operations officer time.

<sup>234</sup> Cameras operated by Sussex Police.

<sup>235</sup> Provide CCTV monitoring services for Spelthorne Borough Council, Epsom and Ewell Borough Council and Elmbridge Borough Council. Annual contribution by these authorities is £471,842.

<sup>236</sup> Costs shared with Hart District Council.

<sup>237</sup> Costs shared with Hart District Council.

<sup>238</sup> From Council Procurement webpage - <http://www.selby.gov.uk/procurement>



<b>South Holland</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>South Kesteven</b>	£162,907	£157,081	£154,323	<b>£474,311</b>
<b>South Lakeland</b>	Information not held			
<b>South Norfolk</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>South Northamptonshire</b>	CCTV is the responsibility Northamptonshire County Council			
<b>South Oxfordshire</b>	£85,381.00	£72,207.72	£77,165.31	<b>£234,754.03</b>
<b>South Ribble</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>South Somerset</b>	£54,500	£54,500	£54,500	<b>£163,500<sup>239</sup></b>
<b>South Staffordshire</b>	No salaried CCTV monitors - all monitoring paid from maintenance figures			
<b>Spelthorne</b>	Operators employed by Safer Runnymede - included in operational costs			
<b>St Albans<sup>240</sup></b>	£168,238.44	£168,238.44	£168,238.44	<b>£504,715.32</b>
<b>St Edmundsbury</b>	£175,341.74	£187,202.10	£205,460.65	<b>£568,004.49</b>
<b>Stafford</b>	£87,600	£82,000	£80,000	<b>£249,600<sup>241</sup></b>
<b>Staffordshire Moorlands</b>	Refused under Section 43(2) - Commercially sensitive information			
<b>Stevenage</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>242</sup></b>
<b>Stratford-on-Avon</b>	£75,021	£77,966	£74,493	<b>£227,480</b>
<b>Stroud</b>	Information not provided			
<b>Suffolk Coastal</b>	No cameras are operated by, or on behalf of, Suffolk Coastal			
<b>Surrey Heath</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>243</sup></b>
<b>Swale</b>	Information cannot be provided - CCTV is managed by Medway Council			
<b>Tamworth</b>	Information cannot be broken down between public space and non-public space CCTV			
<b>Tandridge</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>244</sup></b>
<b>Taunton Deane</b>	Information not broken down - CCTV managed by Sedgemore district council			
<b>Teignbridge</b>	No information held - CCTV responsibility transferred to a local community group in 2012			
<b>Tendring</b>	No information provided			
<b>Test Valley<sup>245</sup></b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Tewkesbury</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Thanet</b>	£127,000	£127,000	£127,000	<b>£381,000</b>
<b>Three Rivers</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>246</sup></b>

<sup>239</sup> Contribute £54,500 per annum to the running of Sedgemore District Council's control centre.

<sup>240</sup> Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council pays an additional £71,000 per annum towards the contract charge.

<sup>241</sup> Monitoring contracted out to external provider.

<sup>242</sup> Salary and pension costs met by contractor.

<sup>243</sup> CCTV monitored by Surrey Police.

<sup>244</sup> Authority does not employ CCTV monitors.

<sup>245</sup> Cameras are not routinely monitored.

<sup>246</sup> Cameras not monitored 24/7

<b>Tonbridge and Malling</b>	Contracted out - approximately £100,000 per annum, included in operation and maintenance costs			
<b>Torrige</b>	£76,332	£80,766	£58,686	<b>£215,784</b>
<b>Tunbridge Wells</b>	£131,250	£131,250	£100,000	<b>£362,500</b>
<b>Uttlesford</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Vale of White Horse</b>	£92,546.34	£110,181.00	£105,600.44	<b>£308,327.78</b>
<b>Warwick</b>	£147,678	£139,375	£139,945	<b>£426,998</b>
<b>Watford</b>	£144,000	£144,000	£144,000	<b>£432,000<sup>247</sup></b>
<b>Waveney</b>	Outsourced to Waveney Norse			
<b>Waverley</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>Wealden</b>	CCTV is the responsibility of Sussex Police - some town and parish councils may contribute to the cost			
<b>Wellingborough</b>	Operated by Northampton Borough Council - expenditure included in operation and maintenance.			
<b>Welwyn Hatfield</b>	£71,000	£71,000	£71,000	<b>£213,000<sup>248</sup></b>
<b>West Devon</b>	No response received			
<b>West Dorset</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0</b>
<b>West Lancashire</b>	Costs included in operation and maintenance - undertaken under a contract			
<b>West Lindsey</b>	£16,340.23	£16,635.90	£18,286.17	<b>£51,262.30</b>
<b>West Oxfordshire</b>	No response received			
<b>West Somerset</b>	£12,588	£12,696	£20,862	<b>£46,146</b>
<b>Weymouth and Portland</b>	£244,168	£214,155	£150,261	<b>£608,584</b>
<b>Winchester</b>	Monitoring service outsourced and costs included in operation and maintenance costs			
<b>Woking</b>	£0	£0	£0	<b>£0<sup>249</sup></b>
<b>Worcester</b>	Costs included in operation and maintenance - see note <sup>250</sup>			
<b>Worthing</b>	See Adur's response			
<b>Wychavon</b>	Information not broken down			
<b>Wycombe</b>	£205,174.13	£220,446.22	£235,808.13	<b>£661,428.48</b>
<b>Wyre</b>	Information cannot be broken down - staff undertake multiple responsibilities			
<b>Wyre Forest</b>	£41,496.60	£41,496.60	£41,496.60	<b>£124,489.80<sup>251</sup></b>
<b>Total</b>				<b>£30,855,266.21</b>

<sup>247</sup> Contract held by OCS Group Ltd.

<sup>248</sup> Service level agreement in place with St Alban's City Council. An annual contribution is paid.

<sup>249</sup> Monitored by Surrey Police

<sup>250</sup> Response notes: "Worcester City Council contracts out its CCTV service to a partner authority (Wychavon District Council). The £70k cost outlined above in response to question 2 relating to CCTV operation and maintenance for fixed cameras, is split as follows - £50k for operation/control room and £20k for maintenance. As Worcester City Council contributes £50k to a service level agreement where staff are supplied by a third party, it means we are unable to break the £50K amount down further."

<sup>251</sup> Monitoring carried out by another Council by way of contract.

## Appendix 2: Methodology

A Freedom of Information request was sent to all local authorities beginning on the 17<sup>th</sup> July 2015.

We asked for the amount that had been spent on the installation and maintenance of both fixed and mobile public space CCTV cameras. We also asked for the salary costs of those employed to monitor cameras as well as the total number of cameras controlled by the local authority.

We received a 96% response rate. For the purposes of this report responses were included until 17<sup>th</sup> February 2016.

## Appendix 3: Original Freedom of Information Request

Dear Sir or Madam

I am writing to obtain information about your local authority's use of CCTV cameras.

To outline my query as clearly as possible, I am requesting:

- 1) The amount spent by your authority on the installation of public-facing CCTV cameras and the purchase or lease of recording equipment and premises.

I request that the information be laid out in the following format:

Council	Amount spent on fixed public facing cameras			Amount spent on mobile public facing cameras			Total council spend on cameras		
	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Name	£1,000	£400	£0	£450	£250	£100	£1,450	£750	£100

- 2) The annual cost of your authority's public-facing CCTV operation and maintenance.

I would like this information broken down into fixed and mobile camera devices.

I request that the information be laid out in the following format:

Council	Annual cost of CCTV operation and maintenance for fixed cameras			Annual cost of CCTV operation and maintenance			Total council spend on CCTV operation and maintenance		
	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Name	£150	£40	£0	£500	£200	£100	£650	£240	£100

- 3) The annual wage and salary cost of CCTV operators including pension liabilities to your authority.
- 4) The total number of cameras controlled by your authority as of 1<sup>st</sup> March 2015.

I request that the time period covered for questions 1-3 is 1<sup>st</sup> March 2012-1<sup>st</sup> March 2015.

If your authority's public-facing CCTV operation is operated by either the local police or another council, or on behalf of another authority, please make this clear and provide any details of the annual cost of the lease or annual stipend paid to (or received from) these organisations for the operation of the CCTV network.

I understand under the Freedom of Information Act that I am entitled to a response within twenty working days. I would be grateful if you could confirm this request in writing as soon as possible.

## About Big Brother Watch

Big Brother Watch was set up to challenge policies that threaten our privacy, our freedoms and our civil liberties, and to expose the true scale of the surveillance state.

Founded in 2009, we have produced unique research exposing the erosion of civil liberties in the UK, looking at the dramatic expansion of surveillance powers, the growth of the database state and the misuse of personal information.

We campaign to give individuals more control over their personal data, and hold to account those who fail to respect our privacy, whether private companies, government departments or local authorities.

Protecting individual privacy and defending civil liberties, Big Brother Watch is a campaign group for the digital age.

**If you are a journalist** and you would like to contact Big Brother Watch, including outside office hours, please call +44 (0) 7505 448925 (24hrs). You can also email [press@bigbrotherwatch.org.uk](mailto:press@bigbrotherwatch.org.uk) for written enquiries.

**Email:** [info@bigbrotherwatch.org.uk](mailto:info@bigbrotherwatch.org.uk)

### **Mail:**

Big Brother Watch

55 Tufton Street

London SW1P 3QL

[www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk](http://www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk)